



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :</b> <b>C07K 2/00, 14/705, 16/28, C12N 5/06, 5/07, C12Q 1/00, A16K 31/00, 35/00, 39/395, G01N 33/15, 33/48, 22/53</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 97/34920</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 25 September 1997 (25.09.97)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US97/03378 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 4 March 1997 (04.03.97)  <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 08/621,734 21 March 1996 (21.03.96) US  <b>(71) Applicant:</b> SUGEN, INC. [US/US]; 515 Galveston Drive, Redwood City, CA 94063 (US).  <b>(72) Inventors:</b> HIRTH, Klaus, P.; 334 Collingwood, San Francisco, CA 94114 (US). MCMAHON, Gerald; 1414 Greenwich Street, San Francisco, CA 94109 (US). SHAWVER, Laura, K.; 216 Cotter Street, San Francisco, CA 94112 (US).  <b>(74) Agents:</b> CORUZZI, Laura, A. et al.; Pennie & Edmonds L.L.P., 1155 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036 (US).	<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AL, AM, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CN, CU, CZ, EE, GE, GH, HU, IL, IS, JP, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LT, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MX, NO, NZ, PL, RO, RU, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UZ, VN, YU, ARIPO patent (GH, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>	
<b>(54) Title:</b> ASSAYS FOR KDR/FLK-1 RECEPTOR TYROSINE KINASE INHIBITORS  <b>(57) Abstract</b>  The present invention relates to processes for the identification of compounds and pharmaceutical compositions capable of selectively and potently inhibiting KDR/FLK-1 tyrosine kinase signal transduction in order to inhibit vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis. The present invention further relates to compounds and compositions identified using the methods of the invention and the use thereof for the treatment of disease relating to inappropriate vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis.		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

## "ASSAYS FOR KDR/FLK-1 RECEPTOR TYROSINE KINASE INHIBITORS"

5

### 1. FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to methods for the identification and use of compounds capable of  
10 selectively and potently inhibiting the signal transduction of a specific receptor tyrosine kinase, and more particularly to compounds selectively inhibiting the enzymatic function of a VEGF receptor, e.g., the KDR/FLK-1 receptor, and the compounds so  
15 identified.

### 2. BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

*Receptor Tyrosine Kinases.* Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) comprise a large family of  
20 transmembrane receptors for polypeptide growth factors with diverse biological activities. The intrinsic function of RTKs is activated upon ligand binding, which results in phosphorylation of the receptor and multiple cellular substrates, and subsequently in a  
25 variety of cellular responses. Ullrich and Schlessinger, 1990, *Cell* 61:203-212.

As has been reported, RTKs, as well as, more generally, protein tyrosine kinases, play an important role in the control of cell growth and differentiation  
30 (for review, see, Schlessinger and Ullrich, 1992, *Neuron* 9:383-391). This is reflected in the observation that aberrant expression or mutations in members of the RTK family lead to either uncontrolled cell proliferation (e.g., malignant tumor growth) or  
35 to defects in key developmental processes. Consequently, the biomedical community has expended significant resources to discover the specific

biological role of members of the RTK family, their function in differentiation processes, including their involvement in tumorigenesis and in other diseases, the biochemical mechanisms underlying their signal transduction pathways activated upon ligand stimulation and the development of novel antineoplastic drugs.

At present, at least nineteen (19) distinct RTK subfamilies have been identified. One RTK subfamily is believed to be comprised of the KDR/FLK-1 receptor, the fetal liver kinase 4 (FLK-4) receptor and the fms-like tyrosine 1 (flt-1) receptor. Each of these receptors was initially believed to be receptors for hematopoietic growth factors.

**The KDR/FLK-1 Receptor and VEGF.** Normal vasculogenesis and angiogenesis play important roles in a variety of physiological processes such as embryonic development, wound healing, organ regeneration and female reproductive processes such as follicle development in the corpus luteum during ovulation and placental growth after pregnancy. Folkman and Shing, 1992, *J. Biological Chem.* 267:10931-34. However, many diseases are driven by persistent unregulated or inappropriate angiogenesis. For example, in arthritis, new capillary blood vessels invade the joint and destroy the cartilage. In diabetes, new capillaries in the retina invade the vitreous, bleed and cause blindness. Folkman, 1987, in: *Congress of Thrombosis and Haemostasis* (Verstraete, et. al, eds.), Leuven University Press, Leuven, pp.583-596. Ocular neovascularization is the most common cause of blindness and dominates approximately twenty (20) eye diseases.

Moreover, vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis have been associated with the growth of malignant solid tumors and metastasis. A tumor must continuously

stimulate the growth of new capillary blood vessels for the tumor itself to grow. Furthermore, the new blood vessels embedded in a tumor provide a gateway for tumor cells to enter the circulation and to

5 metastasize to distant sites in the body. Folkman, 1990, *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 82:4-6; Klagsbrunn and Soker, 1993, *Current Biology* 3:699-702; Folkman, 1991, *J. Natl., Cancer Inst.* 82:4-6; Weidner et al., 1991, *New Engl. J. Med.* 324:1-5.

10 Several polypeptides with in vitro endothelial cell growth promoting activity have been identified. Examples include acidic and basic fibroblastic growth factor (aFGF, bFGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and placental growth factor. Unlike  
15 aFGF and bFGF, VEGF has recently been reported to be an endothelial cell specific mitogen. Ferrara and Henzel, 1989, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 161:851-858; Vaisman et al., 1990, *J. Biol. Chem.* 265:19461-19566.

20 Thus, the identification of the specific receptors to which VEGF binds is an important advancement in the understanding of the regulation of endothelial cell proliferation. Two structurally closely related RTKs have been identified to bind VEGF  
25 with high affinity: the flt-1 receptor (Shibuya et al., 1990, *Oncogene* 5:519-524; De Vries et al., 1992, *Science* 255:989-991) and the KDR/FLK-1 receptor, discussed in the U.S. Patent Application No. 08/193,829. Consequently, it had been surmised that  
30 these RTKs may have a role in the modulation and regulation of endothelial cell proliferation.

Evidence, such as the disclosure set forth in copending U.S. Application Serial No. 08/193,829, strongly suggests that VEGF is not only responsible  
35 for endothelial cell proliferation, but also is a prime regulator of normal

and pathological angiogenesis. See generally, Klagsburn and Soker, 1993, *Current Biology* 3:699-702; Houck et al., 1992, *J. Biol. Chem.* 267:26031-26037. Moreover, it has been shown that KDR/FLK-1 and flt-1 are abundantly expressed in the proliferating endothelial cells of a growing tumor, but not in the surrounding quiescent endothelial cells. Plate et al., 1992, *Nature* 359:845-848; Shweiki et al., 1992, *Nature* 359:843-845.

10       **Identification Of Agonists And Antagonists To The KDR/FLK-1 Receptor.** In view of the deduced importance of RTKs in the control, regulation and modulation of endothelial cell proliferation and potentially vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis, many attempts have  
15 been made to identify RTK "inhibitors" using a variety of approaches. These include the use of mutant ligands (U.S. Patent No. 4,966,849); soluble receptors and antibodies (Application No. WO 94/10202; Kendall and Thomas, 1994, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 90:10705-  
20 10709; Kim et al., 1993, *Nature* 362:841-844); and RNA ligands (Jellinek et al., 1994, *Biochemistry* 33:10450-10456). However, so far, none of these efforts have resulted in the identification or isolation of inhibitors useful for therapeutic applications.

25       Furthermore, tyrosine kinase inhibitors (WO 94/03427; WO 92/21660; WO 91/15495; WO 94/14808; U.S. Patent No. 5,330,992; Mariani et al., 1994, *Proc. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res.* 35:2268), and inhibitors acting on receptor tyrosine kinase signal transduction pathways,  
30 such as protein kinase C inhibitors have been identified (Schuchter et al., 1991, *Cancer Res.* 51:682-687); Takano et al., 1993, *Mol. Bio. Cell* 4:358A; Kinsella et al., 1992, *Exp. Cell Res.* 199:56-62; Wright et al., 1992, *J. Cellular Phys.* 152:448-  
35 57).

More recently, attempts have been made to identify small molecules which act as tyrosine kinase inhibitors. For example, bis monocyclic, bicyclic or heterocyclic aryl compounds (PCT WO 92/20642),  
5 vinylene-azaindole derivatives (PCT WO 94/14808) and 1-cyclopropyl-4-pyridyl-quinolones (U.S. Patent No. 5,330,992) have been described generally as tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Styryl compounds (U.S. Patent No. 5,217,999), styryl-substituted pyridyl compounds (U.S.  
10 Patent No. 5,302,606), certain quinazoline derivatives (EP Application No. 0 566 266 A1), seleoindoles and selenides (PCT WO 94/03427), tricyclic polyhydroxylic compounds (PCT WO 92/21660) and benzylphosphonic acid compounds (PCT WO 91/15495) have been described as  
15 compounds for use as tyrosine kinase inhibitors for use in the treatment of cancer. None of these compounds, however, have been demonstrated to selectively act on the enzymatic function of a specific receptor tyrosine kinase, such as the  
20 KDR/FLK-1 receptor. Indeed, because in a substantial percentage of tumors, overexpression of various RTKs had been shown to dictate the uncontrolled proliferation of the tumor cells these compounds have been identified with the objective to inhibit receptor  
25 tyrosine kinase function in general. Likewise, these compounds have not been associated with the selective inhibition of specific receptor tyrosine kinases, or the inhibition of vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis.

Consequently, there is an unmet need for the  
30 identification and generation of effective small compounds which selectively inhibit the signal transduction of the KDR/FLK-1 receptor in order to effectively and specifically suppress vasculogenesis.

35

### 3. SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention, for the first time, provides for a process to systematically and rationally identify compounds targeting specific biomolecules in order to affect a particular physiological process. More particularly, the present invention relates to a process for producing a compound that inhibits vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis comprising screening a plurality of test compounds to identify a subset of compounds which selectively and potently inhibits the VEGF receptor.

The present invention relates to a process for the identification of compounds that are both highly potent and highly selective for inhibiting the activity of a VEGF receptor, e.g., KDR/FLK-1, in order to inhibit vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis. More specifically, the invention relates to an assay cascade comprised of several "filter steps" of increasing selectivity, which identify a limited subset of candidate compounds affecting the VEGF receptor on the molecular level. The assays comprising these filter steps are preferably high-throughput type assays.

A "high-throughput" cellular assay is employed as the first filter step to identify subset candidate compounds inhibiting VEGF-induced tyrosine phosphorylation of KDR/FLK-1 in cultured cells. Controls are designed to determine the candidate compounds' selectivity for inhibition of KDR/FLK-1 relative to other receptor tyrosine kinases, for example, the IGF-1-R or the EGF-R. Subset candidate compounds with a  $IC_{50}$  of  $<50 \mu M$ , and more preferably  $<10 \mu M$ , and with a selectivity for KDR/FLK-1 of twofold, and more preferably fivefold, relative to control receptors are further pursued. All other



"negative" compounds which do not meet these criteria are discarded.

In the second filter step a bioresponse assay is employed to determine the efficacy of the KDR/FLK-1 inhibitors in cultured endothelial cells. In one embodiment of the method of the invention, the human umbilical vein endothelial cell line HUV-EC-C is employed. HUV-EC cells express the KDR/FLK-1 receptor, and upon VEGF stimulation, a mitotic response can be measured. Controls are designed to determine the selectivity of inhibition of the compounds against the VEGF-induced bioresponse relative to the bioresponse mediated by other receptor tyrosine kinases expressed in the cultured endothelial cells, for example the receptor for aFGF may be compared. Subset candidate compounds with a efficacy of  $<50 \mu\text{M}$ , preferably  $<10 \mu\text{M}$ , and with a selectivity of inhibition twofold, preferably threefold relative to a control receptor, are determined to be "positive". Compounds identified as "positive" are saved for further testing; the "negative" compounds are removed.

Optionally, a biochemical assay may be employed as a "filter" step in order to test the subset candidate compounds' effect on the VEGF-induced autophosphorylation of KDR/FLK-1 in a cell free system. More specifically, the compounds identified as "positive" in the above cellular assay may be tested in a defined *in vitro* assay to confirm that the inhibitory effects are due to direct interaction of the subset candidate compounds with KDR/FLK-1 rather than the result of any secondary effects in the cell. The subset candidate compounds identified as "positive" are again saved for the next "filter."

All of the candidate compounds which survive as "positives" through the above described filter steps

are then tested for toxicity by determination of the LD<sub>10</sub> value and further for efficacy an *in vivo* model for angiogenesis. Suitable *in vivo* models are those for metastasis and or diabetic retinopathy. The  
5 exemplary model described herein is a subcutaneous tumor xenograph model. The compounds of the invention are those which are determined to inhibit tumor angiogenesis in this model, indicated by inhibition of the tumor growth by at least 30% relative to untreated  
10 controls, at a dose smaller than the LD<sub>10</sub>.

Compounds of this invention include, for example, derivatives of quinazoline, quinoxiline, substituted aniline, indoline, isoxazoles, acrylonitrile and phenyl-acrylonitrile compounds. The compounds of the  
15 present invention, however, are not limited to certain chemical classes, as they are defined by the process of identification and their so determined physiological activity.

The present invention is further directed to  
20 pharmaceutical compositions comprising a pharmaceutically effective amount of the compounds of the invention and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or excipient. Such compositions are believed to specifically inhibit the KDR/FLK-1 receptor by,  
25 e.g., inhibiting its catalytic activity, affinity to ATP or ability to interact with a substrate, and thus will be useful in inhibition of diseases related to vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis, including diabetes, arthritis, and cancer.

30 Finally, the present invention is also directed to methods for treating diseases related to pathological or inappropriate vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis, including but not limited to diabetes, diabetic retinopathy, rheumatoid arthritis, hemangioma  
35 and cancer and more particularly cancer related to solid cell tumor growth (e.g., glioblastoma, melanoma

and Kaposi's sarcoma and ovarian, lung, mammary, prostate, pancreatic, colon and epidermoid carcinoma).

#### 4. DEFINITIONS

5       The following terms, in singular and plural, are be intended to have, for the purpose of this invention, the following meaning.

      "Pharmaceutically acceptable acid addition salt" refers to those salts which retain the biological  
10 effectiveness and properties of the free bases and which are obtained by reaction with inorganic acids such as hydrochloric acid, hydrobromic acid, sulfuric acid, nitric acid, phosphoric acid, methanesulfonic acid, ethanesulfonic acid, p-toluenesulfonic acid,  
15 salicylic acid and the like.

      "Selectivity for", "selective inhibition of", or "selectively inhibit(ing)" an VEGF receptor, for example the KDR/FLK-1 receptor refers to the preferential inhibitory ability of a compound against  
20 a VEGF receptor compared to a receptor that belong to another RTK subfamily, such as for example the EGF-R.

      "VEGF recptor" refers to a transmembrane protein with tyrosine kinase activity that binds VEGF, including KDR/FLK-1 and flt-1.

25

#### 5. DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

      The present invention relates to processes for the identification of compounds capable of selectively and potently inhibiting the activity of a VEGF  
30 receptor, e.g. KDR/FLK-1, useful in the treatment of diseases related to unregulated or inappropriate angiogenesis and/or vasculogenesis and the compounds so produced. More particularly, the present invention is based, in part, on the recent discovery  
35 that the KDR/FLK-1 tyrosine kinase receptor is expressed on the surface of endothelial cells and may

play a role in endothelial cell growth, which is one of the crucial steps involved in vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis. See, copending U.S. Patent Application No. 08/193,829, filed February 9, 1994, incorporated  
5 by reference in its entirety herein. The invention is also based on the identification of VEGF as a high affinity ligand of KDR/FLK-1 and the characterization of KDR/FLK-1 as a regulator of vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis rather than a hematopoietic receptor.

10 Thus, the surmised role of VEGF in endothelial cell proliferation and migration during angiogenesis and vasculogenesis indicates an important role for the KDR/FLK-1 in these processes.

The invention is further based on the observation  
15 that an array of severe diseases, such as diabetic retinopathy (Folkman, 1987, in XIth Congress of Thrombosis and Haemostasis (Verstraeta et al., eds.) pp. 583-596, Leuven University Press, Leuven) and arthritis, as well as malignant tumor growth involve,  
20 as an essential requirement for the disease to develop, uncontrolled or inappropriate angiogenesis. See e.g., Folkman, 1971, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 285:1182-1186. For example, in arthritis, new capillaries invade the joint and destroy the cartilage; in at  
25 least twenty (20) ocular diseases, including diabetic retinopathy, new and bleeding capillaries cause blindness.

Moreover, a tumor must continuously stimulate the growth of new blood vessels for the tumor itself to  
30 grow. Also metastasis of malignant tumors has been directly associated with vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis, as new blood vessels embedded in a tumor provide a gateway for tumor cells to enter the circulation in order to manifest at distant sites in  
35 the body.

While not wishing to be bound by any particular theory, although it is believed that the compounds of the present invention act on the endothelial cells forming new blood vessels during vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis, the compounds may also act directly on the tumors cells.

For purposes of this application, although the nomenclature of the human and murine counterparts of the generic "FLK-1" receptor differ slightly, they are, in many respects, interchangeable. Models which rely upon the FLK-1 receptor therefore are directly applicable to understanding the KDR receptor. The murine receptor, FLK-1, and its human counterpart, KDR, share a sequence homology of 93.4% within the intracellular domain which is responsible for the enzymatic activity and resulting signal transduction. Likewise, murine FLK-1 binds human VEGF with the same affinity as mouse VEGF, and accordingly, is activated by the ligand derived from either species. Millauer et al., 1993, *Cell* 72:835-846; Quinn et al., 1993, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 90:7533-7537. FLK-1 also associates with and subsequently tyrosine phosphorylates human RTK substrates (e.g., PLC- $\gamma$  or p85) when coexpressed in 293 cells (human embryonal kidney fibroblasts).

Use of the murine FLK-1 receptor in methods to identify compounds which regulate the signal transduction pathway are directly applicable to the identification of compounds which may be used to regulate the human signal transduction pathway, and more specifically, activity related to the KDR receptor. Angiogenesis is a very complex process involving the invasion of endothelial cells into the nonvascularized tissue. No *in vitro* model exists which mimics exactly this multistep process comprising the degradation of the basal membrane surrounding the

endothelial cells, migration into the perivascular stroma and eventually proliferation and formation of the new vascular sprout. However, *in vivo* mouse and rat animal models have been demonstrated to be of  
5 excellent value for the examination of the clinical potential of agents acting on the KDR/FLK-1 induced signal transduction pathway.

In sum, the receptors to which VEGF specifically binds are an important and powerful therapeutical  
10 target for the regulation and modulation of vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis and a variety of severe diseases which involve abnormal cellular growth caused by such processes. Plowman et al., 1994, *DN&P* 7:334-339. More particularly, the KDR/FLK-1  
15 receptor's high specificity and role in the neovascularization make it a very distinct and powerful target for therapeutic approaches for the treatment of cancer and other diseases which involve the uncontrolled or inappropriate formation of blood  
20 vessels.

This invention is therefore directed to the a process designed to systematically and rationally identify compounds which inhibit vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis by selectively targeting and inhibiting  
25 VEGF receptor, e.g., KDR/FLK-1, on a molecular level. The compounds produced by the process of the invention may inhibit the KDR/FLK-1 receptor by, e.g., inhibiting its catalytic activity, affinity to ATP or ability to interact with a substrate.

30

#### **5.1. The Assay Cascade For The Identification Of Compounds Selectively Inhibiting The KDR/FLK-1 Receptor Signal Transduction**

The present invention, for the first time, provides for a process to systematically and  
35 rationally identify compounds targeting a VEGF receptor, e.g., KDR/FLK-1, in order to affect its

physiological functionality, i.e., vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis. More specifically, the process of the invention allows one to produce, by way of identification, compounds selectively targeting

- 5 KDR/FLK-1 for the treatment of diseases related to uncontrolled or inappropriate vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis.

- More specifically, the invention relates to a cascade of assays including filter steps of increasing  
10 stringency for the identification of compounds which selectively and potently inhibit KDR/FLK-1 tyrosine kinase signal transduction. Using the process of this invention allows one to produce highly potent inhibitors which are highly specific for KDR/FLK-1 as  
15 molecular target. Acting on a specifically defined molecular target involved in the regulation of vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis, the compounds and their pharmaceutical compositions containing them should have superior therapeutic value while having  
20 fewer undefined side effects than drugs identified by traditional means and screening methods.

- The steps involved in cascades of assays are designed as "filter steps" of increasing stringency. For example, the first filter steps are cellular  
25 and/or biochemical high-throughput screening assays, respectively, allowing the identification of subset candidate compounds selectively targeting and potently inhibiting KDR/FLK-1 on the molecular level. The next filter step tests the subset candidate compounds'  
30 efficacy and selectivity of inhibition against a VEGF-induced bioresponse in cultured endothelial cells. Finally, a last filter step, the compounds are tested in an *in vivo* model of angiogenesis to select compounds that are both efficacious and relatively  
35 non-toxic as well as having an acceptable pharmacological profile. Models for diabetic

retinopathy or metastasis, both of which are well known in the art, would be appropriate. The present disclosure describes the use of a mouse subcutaneous xenograft model designed to identify compounds  
5 inhibiting tumor vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis.

**5.1.1. High Throughput Cellular Assay For  
The Identification Of KDR/FLK-1  
Inhibitors**

10 A first "filter step" of the assay cascade, is designed to allow for high-throughput testing of compounds from any source to identify molecules having an inhibitory effect on KDR/FLK-1 autophosphorylation.

For example, a cellular assays may be developed to screen for subset candidate compounds which inhibit  
15 KDR/FLK-1 autophosphorylation, for example using cultured cells expressing high levels of KDR/FLK-1 cDNA.

In a specific embodiment of the invention, NIH 3T3/FLK-1 cells are seeded in 96 well cell culture  
20 plates, grown to suitable density and then incubated with a test candidate compound. Subsequently, the cells are stimulated with VEGF as previously described (see, copending U.S. Patent Application 08/485,323, filed June 7, 1995), and lysed. The level of  
25 tyrosine phosphorylation is determined via Enzyme Linked Immunoabsorbent Assays (ELISA) and compared with controls not treated with candidate compounds, and controls not stimulated with VEGF, respectively.

The ELISA for detection and measure of the degree  
30 of tyrosine kinase activity may generally be conducted according to protocols known in the art, which are described in, for example, Voller et al., 1980, "Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay," In: *Manual of Clinical Immunology*, 2d ed., edited by Rose and  
35 Friedman, pp 359-371 (Am. Soc. Of Microbiology, Washington, D.C.) and in the co-pending U.S. Patent



Application No. 08/279,321, filed July 22, 1994,  
incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

For example, the ELISA plates may be coated with  
a suitable antibody against KDR/FLK-1, such as L4 or  
5 E38, see, Section 6.7. Lysates of stimulated and non-  
stimulated cells are added and incubated under  
suitable conditions. After washing, the ELISA plates  
are incubated with anti-phosphotyrosine antibody,  
linked to a detection entity, e.g., biotin. In the  
10 specific embodiments, the biotinylated anti-  
phosphotyrosine antibody 4G10 (UBI, Catalog No. 16-  
103) is used. The plates are washed, the assay  
developed, and the phosphotyrosine levels of the  
different wells determined on an ELISA reader, for  
15 example a Dynatech MR5000.

Alternatively, the order of the antibodies may be  
reversed: Anti-phosphotyrosine antibody may be used  
as a first antibody for coating the ELISA plate, and  
antibodies against KDR/FLK-1, linked to a detection  
20 entity, for example biotin, may be used as the second  
antibody.

The first assay round serves to quickly eliminate  
inactive compounds reducing the time and cost of the  
process. Those subset candidate compounds which  
25 inhibit the tyrosine phosphorylation of KDR/FLK-1 by  
50% compared to a control are identified and retested  
using the same assay, however, in the second round the  
cells are incubated with various concentrations of the  
subset candidate compounds to determine the  $IC_{50}$  value.

30 In order to identify compounds selectively  
inhibiting KDR/FLK-1, parallel assays may be performed  
to determine the subset candidate compounds' effect on  
the ligand-induced autophosphorylation of NIH 3T3  
cells transfected with a control receptor tyrosine  
35 kinase, for example the EGF-R or the IGF-R.

Subset candidate compounds with an  $IC_{50} < 50 \mu M$ , preferably  $< 10 \mu M$ , and with a twofold, preferably fivefold selectivity for inhibition of KDR/FLK-1 relative to the control receptor are determined  
5 "positive" and further pursued. Test compounds which do not meet this standard are removed.

**5.1.2. Bioresponse Assay For The  
Determination Of The Inhibitor's  
Efficacy In A Biological System**

10 As a second "filter" step, a bioresponse assay is employed to determine the efficacy of the KDR/FLK-1 inhibitors in a more physiologically relevant manner, herein the effect on cultured endothelial cells. A  
15 number of endothelial cell lines have been described in the literature which may be employed for this assay. For example, bovine aortic endothelial (BAE) cells and human umbilical vein endothelial (HUV-EC) cells have been shown to express the KDR/FLK-1  
20 receptor as well as a suitable control receptor, the receptor for aFGF. If such cultured endothelial cells are starved by growth factor depletion, a mitogenic effect may be induced and measured upon stimulation with VEGF. A similar mitotic response may be induced  
25 by aFGF.

25 In a preferred embodiment, HUV-EC cells are seeded in tissue culture flasks and grown to a suitable density. After starving, i.e., depletion of growth factors, the cells are incubated with different concentrations of subset candidate compounds.  
30 Subsequently, the cells are stimulated with VEGF or aFGF, respectively, and the induced mitogenic effect is measured by determination of, for example,  $^3H$ -thymidine incorporation into the DNA. The subset candidate compounds' selectivity for inhibition of the  
35 VEGF-induced bioresponse is determined by comparison

with the subset candidate compounds' effect on the AFGF-induced bioresponse.

Subset candidate compounds with an  $IC_{50}$  of  $<50 \mu M$ , preferably  $<10 \mu M$ , and with a selectivity of inhibition against KDR/FLK-1 of twofold, preferably threefold relative to the control, are determined to be "positive" and are further pursued. The "negative" test compounds removed.

10                    **5.1.3.      Biochemical Assay For The  
Determination Of The Specificity  
Of Inhibition The Subset Candidate  
Compound For KDR/FLK-1 Receptor On  
The Molecular Level**

15                    As an additional "filter", a defined *in vitro* assay may be employed to confirm that the inhibitory effects of the subset candidate compounds determined in the first filter cellular assay described in Section 5.1.1. are due to direct interaction of the subset candidate compound with KDR/FLK-1 rather than the result of secondary effects occurring in the  
20                    cells. Specifically, a biochemical assay may be employed to test the subset candidate compounds' effect on the VEGF-induced autophosphorylation of isolated KDR/FLK-1 *in vitro*. The biochemical assay is an optional step in the assay cascade of this  
25                    invention; it is simply designed to confirm that KDR/FLK-1 is in fact the direct molecular target of the inhibitor.

30                    For example, NIH 3T3/FLK-1 cells, or any other cultured cells expressing KDR/FLK-1, are lysed, and aliquots of the lysates are distributed on ELISA plates which have been coated with KDR/FLK-1-specific antibodies. After incubation for a suitable time under suitable conditions, the plates are washed to  
35                    remove the unbound proteins and the subset candidate compounds are added to the wells. Subsequently, the

receptor kinase reaction is induced by addition of kinase buffer containing  $MnCl_2$ .  $Mn^{2+}$  ions are known to stimulate enzymatic activity of receptor tyrosine kinases and thus receptor autophosphorylation. The plates are then washed, and incubated with an anti-phosphotyrosine antibody, linked to a detection entity, e.g., biotin. In preferred embodiments, biotinylated anti-phosphotyrosine antibody 4G10 is used (UBI, Catalog No. 16-103). The plates are washed, the assay developed, and the phosphotyrosine levels of the different wells determined on an ELISA reader, for example a Dynatech MR5000. Subset candidate compounds determined to inhibit the *in vitro* autophosphorylation are further pursued, the "negative" ones are removed.

As the biochemical assays, as true for the cellular assay described herein, may be adopted as a initial high-throughput screening assay, the biochemical assay may employed in a addition of, or as substitute of, the cellular assay described as the "first filter". Preferably, however, both assays are used to verify the selectivity and potency of a test compound for KDR/FLK-1 as the molecular target on two independent assay levels.

25

#### 5.1.4. Determination Of Toxicity Of The Compounds

Therapeutic compounds should be more potent in inhibiting receptor tyrosine kinase activity than in exerting a cytotoxic effect. The tolerance of the subset candidate compounds may be assessed by determination by assessment of the  $LD_{50}$  value, i.e., the lethal dose for 50% of a group of animals. Various doses of the test compound, estimated to cover the range from 0 to 100% lethality, are administered to several groups of animals. The mortality in each

group within a fixed period of time is determined and used to construct a curve relating factual mortality to dose. Determination of LD<sub>50</sub> values is further described in Fabian et al., 1993, *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* 18:206-213; Paumgartten et al., 1989, *Brazilian J. Med. Biol. Res.* 22:987-991.

**5.1.5. Determination Of The Compounds  
Efficacy In Vivo In A Mouse  
Subcutaneous Xenograft Tumor Model**

10 The subset candidate compounds which have been determined to effectively and selectively inhibit the signal transduction induced by VEGF and mediated by KDR/FLK-1 are further analyzed in an *in vivo* model relevant to the process of vascularization and/or  
15 angiogenesis. In general, suitable models include, but are not limited to, *in vivo* tumor models, tumor invasion models, retinopathy models, etc.

In a specific embodiment of the invention, a mouse xenograft tumor model is employed as  
20 angiogenesis model. In general, tumor cell lines are implanted subcutaneously in nude mice. Subsequently, the animals are treated with the candidate compounds to determine their effect on tumor angiogenesis and tumor growth. In general, maximum dose of compound  
25 which is administered to the animal is the amount which has been determined as the LD<sub>10</sub> value, i.e., the dose lethal for 10% of the test animals. The xenografts are measured regularly, preferably at least every three days. At the end of the experiment, the  
30 tumors are resected to be immunologically and histologically examined to determine of the subset candidate compounds' inhibitory effect on the tumor angiogenesis.

35 In a specific embodiment of the invention, EPH-4 (Reichmann et al., 1989, *J. Biol. Cell.* 108:1127-1138) cells transfected with VEGF (EPH-4/VEGF cells) are

employed as test tumors. Wild type EPH-4 cells are immortalized epithelial cells which do not grow as tumor when implanted subcutaneously in nude mice.

EPH-4/VEGF cells which have been engineered to express

5 high levels of VEGF, however, have been shown to grow as highly vascularized subcutaneous tumors in test animals. In contrast to other tumor cells, which produce VEGF only after induction, for example by hypoxia and the hypoxia induced factor (Liu et al.,  
10 1995, Circ. Res. 77:638-643), EPH4/VEGF cells produce VEGF constitutively. Accordingly, they are extremely potent inducers of tumor angiogenesis and as such provide for a very stringent *in vivo* test system.

Those subset candidate compounds which are  
15 determined to inhibit the EPH-4/VEGF tumor growth by at least 30% relative to untreated controls at a doses smaller than the LD<sub>10</sub>, are the desired compounds of this invention.

#### 20 5.1.6. Summary Of The Experimental Results

TABLE I summarizes the compounds identified in each "filter" of the assay cascade of the invention.

25

30

35

**TABLE I**  
**COMPOUND SELECTION THROUGH ASSAY CASCADE COMPRISING**  
**FILTERS OF INCREASING STRINGENCY**

5	SUGEN I.D.	CELLULAR ASSAY		BIORESPONSE ASSAY		IN VIVO EFFICACY	BIOCHEMICAL ASSAY ( $\mu$ M)
		IC <sub>50</sub> ( $\mu$ M)	Selectivity	IC <sub>50</sub> ( $\mu$ M)	Selectivity		
	SU5416	0.1	> 1000	0.04	1250	yes	
	SU4312	0.8	> 125	0.8	> 62.5	yes	0.5
	SU4932	2	> 50	2.5	18.1	yes	4.8
10	SU4943	7.6	> 13.1	3.1	5.7	yes	3.6
	SU5208	4.7	> 21.3	9.3	> 5.3	no	
	SU4314	1	> 100	0.2	30	no	0.01
	SU4928	8.7	> 11.5	3.9	3.7	no	3.3
	SU4929	6	> 16.7	3.8	> 13.2	no	1.6
15	SU1385	2.3	> 43	0.3	52.3	no	0.02
	SU4304	9	5.8	7.2	1.07		
	SU4334	9.7	6.4	14.4	1.0		
	SU0879	0.8	26	0.6	1.3		
	SU4161	0.5	52	2.1	1.0		
20	SU1076	9.2	> 10	10	1.8		
	SU1433	9.3	> 11	7	0.8		
	SU4348	8	> 12.5	7.6	1.05		
	SU1498	0.7	> 143	2.5	1.0		
	SU4945	5	> 20	3.1	6.1		5.4
25	SU4157	4	> 25	5.3	1.04		
	SU4136	1.8	> 28	8	1.03		
	SU1835	3.4	> 29	30	1.0		
	SU4328	2.8	> 35.7	2.9	1.0		
	SU4209	0.7	> 71	2.5	2.6		
30	SU5015	10	0.57				
	SU4936	8.5	1.1				
	SU5014	9.9	1.3				
	SU1387	4.9	1.9				
	SU4313	4	2.75				
35	SU1393	3.3	4.8				

### 5.2. Source Of Compounds

The test candidate compounds employed for the process of this invention may be obtained from any commercial source, including Aldrich (1001 West St. Paul Ave., Milwaukee, WI 53233), Sigma Chemical (P.O. Box 14508, St. Louis, MO 63178), Fluka Chemie AG (Industriestrasse 25, CH-9471 Buchs, Switzerland (Fluka Chemical Corp. 980 South 2nd Street, Ronkonkoma, NY 11779)), Eastman Chemical Company, Fine Chemicals (P.O. Box 431, Kingsport, TN 37662), Boehringer Mannheim GmbH (Sandhofer Strasse 116, D-68298 Mannheim), Takasago (4 Volvo Drive, Rockleigh, NJ 07647), SST Corporation (635 Brighton Road, Clifton, NJ 07012), Ferro (111 West Irene Road, Zachary, LA 70791), Riedel-deHaen Aktiengesellschaft (P.O. Box D-30918, Seelze, Germany), PPG Industries Inc., Fine Chemicals (One PPG Place, 34th Floor, Pittsburgh, PA 15272). Further any kind of natural products may be screened using the assay cascade of the invention, including microbial, fungal or plant extracts.

### 5.3. Compounds Identified Using The Process Of The Invention

Using the process of this invention, a number of compounds selectively targeting and inhibiting the signal transduction induced by VEGF and mediated by KDR/FLK-1 for the inhibition of vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis *in vivo* have been identified. The compounds identified by the process of the invention include, for example, derivatives of quinazoline, quinoxiline, substituted aniline, indoline, isoxazoles, acrylonitrile and phenylacrylonitrile compounds. The compounds of the present invention, however, are not limited to any particular chemical structure, as they are solely defined by the assay



cascade of the invention, which allows, for the first time, to systematically and rationally identify highly potent and highly selective inhibitors targeting the activity of KDR/FLK-1 on a molecular level.

5 In addition to the above compounds and their pharmaceutically acceptable salts, the invention is further directed, where applicable, to solvated as well as unsolvated forms of the compounds (e.g. hydrated forms) produced and identified by the process  
10 of the invention.

#### 5.4. Indications

The compounds identified by the methods of the present invention are believed to bind to and  
15 specifically inhibit the KDR/FLK-1 receptor by, e.g., inhibiting its catalytic activity, affinity for ATP or ability to interact with a substrate.

Thus, pharmaceutical compositions comprising a therapeutically effective amount of a compound  
20 identified by the process of the invention will be useful for the treatment of diseases driven by persistent unregulated angiogenesis. For example, in arthritis, new capillary blood vessels invade the joint and destroy the cartilage. Further, in at least  
25 twenty (20) diseases relating to ocular neovascularization, as for example diabetes mellitus, new and bleeding capillaries cause blindness.

Moreover, vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis has been associated with the growth of malignant solid  
30 tumors and metastasis. Indeed, a tumor must continuously stimulate the growth of new capillary blood vessels for the tumor itself to grow. Furthermore, the new blood vessels embedded in a tumor provide a gateway for tumor cells to enter the  
35 circulation and to metastasize to distant sites in the body. Thus, the compounds and pharmaceutical

compositions identified by the process of the present invention may be included in methods for treating, among other diseases, diabetic retinopathy and other diseases related to ocular neovascularization,

5 arthritis, glioma, melanoma, Kaposi's sarcoma, psoriasis, hemangioma and ovarian, breast, lung, pancreatic, prostate, colon and epidermoid cancer.

Thus, in general, the disorders which may be treated with the compounds and compositions, and  
10 pharmaceutical formulations identified by the process of the invention generally refer to angiogenic and vasculogenic disorders resulting in or caused by inappropriate proliferation of blood vessels.

#### 15           **5.5. Pharmaceutical Formulations And Routes Of Administration**

The identified compounds can be administered to a human patient alone or in pharmaceutical compositions where they are mixed with suitable carriers or  
20 excipient(s) at therapeutically effective doses to treat or ameliorate a variety of disorders. A therapeutically effective dose further refers to that amount of the compound sufficient to result in amelioration of symptoms as determined in a decrease  
25 of vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis. Techniques for formulation and administration of the compounds of the instant application may be found in "Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences," Mack Publishing Co., Easton, PA, latest edition.

30

##### **5.5.1. Routes Of Administration.**

Suitable routes of administration may, for example, include oral, rectal, transmucosal, or intestinal administration; parenteral delivery,  
35 including intramuscular, subcutaneous, intramedullary injections, as well as intrathecal, direct

intraventricular, intravenous, intraperitoneal, intranasal, or intraocular injections.

Alternately, one may administer a compound of the invention in a local rather than systemic manner, for example, via injection of the compound directly into a solid tumor, often in a depot, or in a sustained release formulation.

Furthermore, one may administer the drug via a targeted drug delivery system, for example, in a liposome coated with tumor-specific antibody. The liposomes will be targeted to and taken up selectively by the tumor.

#### 5.5.2. Composition/Formulation

The pharmaceutical compositions of the present invention may be manufactured by means of conventional mixing, dissolving, granulating, dragee-making, levigating, emulsifying, encapsulating, entrapping or lyophilizing processes.

Pharmaceutical compositions for use in accordance with the present invention thus may be formulated in a conventional manner using one or more physiologically acceptable carriers comprising excipients and auxiliaries which facilitate processing of the active compounds into preparations which can be used pharmaceutically. Proper formulation is dependent upon the route of administration chosen.

For injection, the agents of the invention may be formulated in aqueous solutions, preferably in physiologically compatible buffers such as Hanks's solution, Ringer's solution, or physiological saline buffer. For transmucosal administration, penetrants appropriate to the barrier to be permeated are used in the formulation. Such penetrants are generally known in the art.

For oral administration, the compounds can be formulated readily by combining the active compounds with pharmaceutically acceptable carriers well known in the art. Such carriers enable the compounds of the invention to be formulated as tablets, pills, dragees, capsules, liquids, gels, syrups, slurries, suspensions and the like, for oral ingestion by a patient to be treated. Pharmaceutical preparations for oral use can be obtained as a solid excipient, optionally grinding a resulting mixture, and processing the mixture of granules, after adding suitable auxiliaries, if desired, to obtain tablets or dragee cores. Suitable excipients include fillers such as sugars, including lactose, sucrose, mannitol, or sorbitol; cellulose preparations such as, for example, maize starch, wheat starch, rice starch, potato starch, gelatin, gum tragacanth, methyl cellulose, hydroxypropylmethyl-cellulose, sodium carboxymethylcellulose, and/or polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP). If desired, disintegrating agents may be added, such as the cross-linked polyvinyl pyrrolidone, agar, or alginic acid or a salt thereof such as sodium alginate.

Dragee cores are provided with suitable coatings. For this purpose, concentrated sugar solutions may be used, which may optionally contain gum arabic, talc, polyvinyl pyrrolidone, carbopol gel, polyethylene glycol, and/or titanium dioxide, lacquer solutions, and suitable organic solvents or solvent mixtures. Dyestuffs or pigments may be added to the tablets or dragee coatings for identification or to characterize different combinations of active compound doses.

Pharmaceutical preparations which can be used orally include push-fit capsules made of gelatin, as well as soft, sealed capsules made of gelatin and a plasticizer, such as glycerol or sorbitol. The

push-fit capsules can contain the active ingredients in admixture with fillers such as lactose, binders such as starches, and/or lubricants such as talc or magnesium stearate and, optionally, stabilizers. In  
5 soft capsules, the active compounds may be dissolved or suspended in suitable liquids, such as fatty oils, liquid paraffin, or liquid polyethylene glycols. In addition, stabilizers may be added. All formulations for oral administration should be in dosages suitable  
10 for such administration.

For buccal administration, the compositions may take the form of tablets or lozenges formulated in conventional manner.

For administration by inhalation, the compounds  
15 for use according to the present invention are conveniently delivered in the form of an aerosol spray presentation from pressurized packs or a nebulizer, with the use of a suitable propellant, e.g., dichlorodifluoromethane, trichlorofluoromethane,  
20 dichlorotetrafluoroethane, carbon dioxide or other suitable gas. In the case of a pressurized aerosol the dosage unit may be determined by providing a valve to deliver a metered amount. Capsules and cartridges of, e.g., gelatin, for use in an inhaler or  
25 insufflator, may be formulated containing a powder mix of the compound and a suitable powder base such as lactose or starch.

The compounds may be formulated for parenteral administration by injection, e.g., by bolus injection  
30 or continuous infusion. Formulations for injection may be presented in unit dosage form, e.g., in ampoules or in multi-dose containers, with an added preservative. The compositions may take such forms as suspensions, solutions or emulsions in oily or aqueous  
35 vehicles, and may contain formulatory agents such as suspending, stabilizing and/or dispersing agents.

Pharmaceutical formulations for parenteral administration include aqueous solutions of the active compounds in water-soluble form. Additionally, suspensions of the active compounds may be prepared as appropriate oily injection suspensions. Suitable lipophilic solvents or vehicles include fatty oils such as sesame oil, or synthetic fatty acid esters, such as ethyl oleate or triglycerides, or liposomes. Aqueous injection suspensions may contain substances which increase the viscosity of the suspension, such as sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, sorbitol, or dextran. Optionally, the suspension may also contain suitable stabilizers or agents which increase the solubility of the compounds to allow for the preparation of highly concentrated solutions.

Alternatively, the active ingredient may be in powder form for constitution with a suitable vehicle, such as sterile pyrogen-free water, before use.

The compounds may also be formulated in rectal compositions such as suppositories or retention enemas, e.g., containing conventional suppository bases such as cocoa butter or other glycerides.

In addition to the formulations described previously, the compounds may also be formulated as a depot preparation. Such long acting formulations may be administered by implantation (for example subcutaneously or intramuscularly) or by intramuscular injection. Thus, for example, the compounds may be formulated with suitable polymeric or hydrophobic materials (for example as an emulsion in an acceptable oil) or ion exchange resins, or as sparingly soluble derivatives, for example, as a sparingly soluble salt.

A pharmaceutical carrier for the hydrophobic compounds of the invention is a cosolvent system comprising benzyl alcohol, a nonpolar surfactant, a water-miscible organic polymer, and an aqueous phase.

The cosolvent system may be the VPD co-solvent system. VPD is a solution of 3% w/v benzyl alcohol, 8% w/v of the nonpolar surfactant polysorbate 80, and 65% w/v polyethylene glycol 300, made up to volume in absolute ethanol. The VPD co-solvent system (VPD:5W) consists of VPD diluted 1:1 with a 5% dextrose in water solution. This co-solvent system dissolves hydrophobic compounds well, and itself produces low toxicity upon systemic administration. Naturally, the proportions of a co-solvent system may be varied considerably without destroying its solubility and toxicity characteristics. Furthermore, the identity of the co-solvent components may be varied: for example, other low-toxicity nonpolar surfactants may be used instead of polysorbate 80; the fraction size of polyethylene glycol may be varied; other biocompatible polymers may replace polyethylene glycol, e.g., polyvinyl pyrrolidone; and other sugars or polysaccharides may be substituted for dextrose.

Alternatively, other delivery systems for hydrophobic pharmaceutical compounds may be employed. Liposomes and emulsions are well known examples of delivery vehicles or carriers for hydrophobic drugs. Certain organic solvents such as dimethylsulfoxide also may be employed, although usually with a greater toxicity.

Additionally, the compounds may be delivered using a sustained-release system, such as semipermeable matrices of solid hydrophobic polymers containing the therapeutic agent. Various sustained-release materials have been established and are well known by those skilled in the art. Sustained-release capsules may, depending on their chemical nature, release the compounds for a few weeks up to over 100 days.

Depending on the chemical nature and the biological stability of the therapeutic reagent, additional strategies for protein stabilization may be employed.

5       The pharmaceutical compositions also may comprise suitable solid or gel phase carriers or excipients. Examples of such carriers or excipients include but are not limited to calcium carbonate, calcium phosphate, various sugars, starches, cellulose  
10 derivatives, gelatin, and polymers such as polyethylene glycols.

Many of the KDR/FLK-1 inhibiting compounds of the invention may be provided as salts with pharmaceutically compatible counterions.

15 Pharmaceutically compatible salts may be formed with many acids, including but not limited to hydrochloric, sulfuric, acetic, lactic, tartaric, malic, succinic, etc. Salts tend to be more soluble in aqueous or other protonic solvents that are the corresponding  
20 free base forms.

#### 5.5.3.       Effective Dosage.

Pharmaceutical compositions suitable for use in the present invention include compositions wherein the  
25 active ingredients are contained in an effective amount to achieve its intended purpose. More specifically, a therapeutically effective amount means an amount effective to prevent development of or to alleviate the existing symptoms of the subject being  
30 treated. Determination of the effective amounts is well within the capability of those skilled in the art, especially in light of the detailed disclosure provided herein.

For any compound used in the method of the  
35 invention, the therapeutically effective dose can be estimated initially from cell culture assays. For



example, a dose can be formulated in animal models to achieve a circulating concentration range that includes the  $IC_{50}$  as determined in cell culture (i.e., the concentration of the test compound which achieves a half-maximal inhibition of the KDR/FLK-1 activity). Such information can be used to more accurately determine useful doses in humans.

A therapeutically effective dose refers to that amount of the compound that results in amelioration of symptoms or a prolongation of survival in a patient. Toxicity and therapeutic efficacy of such compounds can be determined by standard pharmaceutical procedures in cell cultures or experimental animals, e.g., for determining the  $LD_{50}$  (the dose lethal to 50% of the population) and the  $ED_{50}$  (the dose therapeutically effective in 50% of the population). The dose ratio between toxic and therapeutic effects is the therapeutic index and it can be expressed as the ratio between  $LD_{50}$  and  $ED_{50}$ . Compounds which exhibit high therapeutic indices are preferred.

The data obtained from these cell culture assays and animal studies can be used in formulating a range of dosage for use in human. The dosage of such compounds lies preferably within a range of circulating concentrations that include the  $ED_{50}$  with little or no toxicity. The dosage may vary within this range depending upon the dosage form employed and the route of administration utilized. The exact formulation, route of administration and dosage can be chosen by the individual physician in view of the patient's condition. (See, e.g., Fingl et al., 1975, in "The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics", Ch. 1 p1).

Dosage amount and interval may be adjusted individually to provide plasma levels of the active moiety which are sufficient to maintain the kinase

modulating effects, or minimal effective concentration (MEC). The MEC will vary for each compound but can be estimated from *in vitro* data; e.g., the concentration necessary to achieve 50-90% inhibition of the kinase  
5 using the assays described herein. Dosages necessary to achieve the MEC will depend on individual characteristics and route of administration. However, HPLC assays or bioassays can be used to determine plasma concentrations.

10 Dosage intervals can also be determined using MEC value. Compounds should be administered using a regimen which maintains plasma levels above the MEC for 10-90% of the time, preferably between 30-90% and most preferably between 50-90%. In cases of local  
15 administration or selective uptake, the effective local concentration of the drug may not be related to plasma concentration.

The amount of composition administered will, of course, be dependent on the subject being treated, on  
20 the subject's weight, the severity of the affliction, the manner of administration and the judgment of the prescribing physician.

#### 5.5.4. Packaging

25 The compositions may, if desired, be presented in a pack or dispenser device which may contain one or more unit dosage forms containing the active ingredient. The pack may for example comprise metal or plastic foil, such as a blister pack. The pack or  
30 dispenser device may be accompanied by instructions for administration. Compositions comprising a compound of the invention formulated in a compatible pharmaceutical carrier may also be prepared, placed in an appropriate container, and labelled for treatment  
35 of an indicated condition. Suitable conditions indicated on the label may include inhibition of

angiogenesis, treatment of a tumor, treatment of arthritis, diabetes, and the like.

## 6. EXAMPLES

5       The following assays and animal models may be used to identify compounds which selectively inhibit the KDR/FLK-1 receptor signal transduction. More specifically, high-throughput cellular and biochemical assays are designed for the preselection of subset  
10 candidate compounds having inhibitory activity on KDR/FLK-1. Subset candidate compounds identified as positive by these "filters" are then evaluated in bioresponse assays and animal models for their selectivity for inhibition of VEGF-induced and  
15 KDR/FLK-1-mediated signal transduction and for their in vivo efficacy.

### 6.1. Cellular Assays For The Initial Screening Of The Acquired Test Compounds

20       The following assay has been developed to provide for the high throughput testing of test candidate compounds for the identification of potent KDR/FLK-1-specific inhibitors. NIH 3T3 cells expressing FLK-1 (NIH 3T3/FLK-1 cells) are seeded and grown on 96 well  
25 plates and incubated with the test candidate compounds or extracts. Control cells, e.g., NIH 3T3 cells expressing EGF-R (NIH 3T3/EGF-R) are treated identically. The cells are stimulated with the corresponding ligand, VEGF and EGF, respectively,  
30 lysed, and receptor tyrosine phosphorylation is determined via Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assays (ELISA).

      In general, the ELISA for detection and measurement of the presence of tyrosine kinase  
35 activity may be conducted according to protocols known in the art, which are described in, for example,

Voller et al., 1980, "Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay," In: *Manual of Clinical Immunology*, 2d ed., edited by Rose and Friedman, pp 359-371 Am. Soc. Of Microbiology, Washington, D.C. The objective of the following protocol is to provide a consistent method for measuring phosphotyrosine levels of FLK-1 receptors isolated from lysates of FLK-1/NIH cells.

Selectivity of inhibition of the subset candidate compounds for KDR/FLK-1 relative to control receptor (here the EGF-R) is determined. Compounds having an  $IC_{50}$  of  $<50 \mu M$ , preferably  $<10 \mu M$ , and further a selectivity of twofold, preferably fivefold, for KDR/FLK-1 are "positive" and are further pursued

#### 6.1.1. Reagents And Supplies

1. Corning 96-well ELISA plates (Corning, Catalog No. 25805-96).
2. PBS (Phosphate Buffered Saline);  
Formulation: 2.7 mM KCl; 1.1 mM  $KH_2PO_4$ ; 0.5 mM  $MgCl_2$  (anhydrous); 138 mM NaCl; 8.1 mM  $Na_2HPO_4$ .
3. HNTG Buffer; Formulation: 20 mM ptkHEPES buffer pH 7.5; 150 mM NaCl; 0.2% Triton X-100; 10% Glycerol.
4. EDTA (0.5 M pH 7.0).
5.  $Na_3VO_4$  (0.1 M pH 10.0).
6.  $Na_4P_2O_7$  (0.2 M).
7. DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium) with 1x high glucose, L-Glutamine (Catalog No. 11965-050).
8. FBS (Fetal Bovine Serum); CS (Calf Serum).
9. L-Glutamine (200 mM stock).
10. Growth media: DMEM 10% heat inactivated FBS (10% CS)+ 2 mM L-Glutamine.
11. Starvation media: DMEM 0.1% FBS (0.1% CS)+ 2 mM L-Glutamine.
12. NIH 3T3/FLK-1 cells, NIH 3T3/EGF-R cells, grown in growth media in 5%  $CO_2$  at 37°C.

13. VEGF: 10  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  in Milli-Q water stored at -20°C (Peprotech, -20°C).
14. EGF: stock concentration = 16.5  $\mu\text{M}$ ; EGF 201, TOYOBO, Co., Ltd. Japan.
- 5 15. 05-101 (UBI) (a monoclonal antibody recognizing an EGFR extracellular domain).
16. Anti-FLK-1D monoclonal antibody: Produced and purified by the Biochemistry laboratory, Sugen Inc.
- 10 17. 4G10 Biotin-conjugated anti-phosphotyrosine (UBI, Catalog No. 16-103).
18. Solution A+B: (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, California, Catalog No. PK-6100.)
19. ABTS solution; Formulation: 100 mM Citric acid (anhydrous); 250 mM  $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$ , pH 4.0; 0.5 mg/ml ABTS (2,2-azino-bis (3-ethyl benzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)). Keep solution in dark at 4°C until ready to use.
- 15 20. Hydrogen peroxide 30% (Fisher, Catalog No. H325).
- 20 21. ABTS/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ; Formulation: 15 ml ABTS solution; 8  $\mu\text{l}$   $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ .
22. 0.1 M  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ , pH 9.6.
23. TBSW Buffer (Tris buffered Saline with Tween-20); Formulation: 50 mM Tris pH 7.2; 150 mM NaCl; 0.1% Tween 20.
- 25 24. 20% Ethanolamine Stock, pH 7.0.
25. Corning 96-well round bottom cell culture plates (Corning, Catalog No. 25850).
- 30 26. Nunc Polypropylene 96-well V bottom plates.
27. HNTG; Formulation: HNTG plus 5 mM  $\text{NaVO}_4$ ; 5 mM EDTA; 2 mM  $\text{NaP}_2\text{O}_7$ .
28. TBSW/0.5% Ethanolamine; Formulation: TBSW buffer plus 0.5% Ethanolamine.

35

### 6.1.2. Procedure

#### A. Coating And Blocking Of ELISA Plates.

1. Corning ELISA plates are coated with 4.0  $\mu$ g FLK-1D or EGF-R (05-101) antibody/well, respectively,  
5 in 0.1 M  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  at a final volume per well of 100  $\mu$ l over night at 4°C. Plates can be used for at least three days when kept at 4°C.
2. Unbound antibody is removed from wells by  
10 inverting plate. Plates are washed 3x with TBSW/0.5%  
Ethanalamine.
3. Plates are blocked by incubation with 200  $\mu$ l  
TBSW/0.5% Ethanalamine per well while shaking at room  
temperature for 30 min.

#### 15 B. Growth And Seeding Of FLK-1/NIH Cells

1. NIH 3T3/FLK-1 and NIH 3T3/EGF-R cells,  
respectively, are plated in a 15 cm dish with 30 ml  
growth media and grown to 90-100% confluence.  
Subsequently, cells are harvested by trypsinization (3  
20 ml trypsin/EDTA per 15 cm dish). Trypsinization is  
stopped by addition of 10 ml growth medium. Then, the  
cells are sedimented by centrifugation for 5 min at  
2,000x g, and the cells resuspended in growth media to  
yield a final dilution of 25,000 cells/100  $\mu$ l.
2. NIH 3T3/FLK-1 and NIH 3T3/EGF-R cells,  
25 respectively, are seeded into Corning 96 cell plate at  
25,000 cells/well in 100  $\mu$ l growth media.
3. Cells are grown for 1-2 days at 37°C, 5%  $\text{CO}_2$ .
4. Cells are washed with 100  $\mu$ l of PBS per  
30 well.
5. The PBS is removed and 100  $\mu$ l of Starvation  
medium are added to each well. The cells are  
incubated overnight at 37°C, 5%  $\text{CO}_2$ .

35

### C. Assay Procedure.

1. The compound/extract stocks at a concentration of about 10mM are diluted 1:10 in a polypropylene 96-well plate using DMEM. DMSO is  
5 diluted 1:10 for control wells.
2. The starvation media is removed from the wells of the cell plates and 90  $\mu$ l of DMEM are added to each well.
3. 10  $\mu$ l of diluted compound/extract and  
10 controls are added to the wells. The final drug dilution and DMSO dilution is 1:100.
4. The cells are incubated with diluted compounds/extracts for 2 hours at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.
5. NIH 3T3/FLK-1 cells are stimulated with 50  
15  $\mu$ l/well of 3 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> and 0.3  $\mu$ l/ml VEGF in DMEM (final concentration of 1 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> and 100 ng/ml VEGF) for 8 minutes at 37°C. Control NIH 3T3/FLK-1 cells are incubated with 50  $\mu$ l/well of 3 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> only. NIH 3T3/EGF-R cells are stimulated with EGF (and  
20 optionally with Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>) at a final concentration of 25 nM (and 1 mM), respectively. Control NIH 3T3/EGF-R cells are incubated with 50  $\mu$ l/well of 3 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> accordingly.
6. After incubation with/without the respective  
25 ligands, the supernatants are aspirated and the cell plates washed 1x with PBS.
7. Cells are lysed in 100  $\mu$ l of HNTG\* by incubation on ice for 5 minutes.
8. The ELISA plates are washed 3x as described  
30 above in step A.2.
9. The lysates of stimulated and non-stimulated cells are transferred from cell plates to the corresponding wells of the ELISA plates by repeated aspiration and dispensing while scraping the  
35 sides of each cell well. The ELISA plates are

incubated with the lysates for 2 hours at 4°C while shaking.

10. The ELISA plates are washed 3x as described in step A.2.

5 11. 100 µl/ biotinylated 4G10, diluted 1:10000 in TBSW/0.5% Ethanolamine are added to each well and incubated for 30 minutes while shaking.

12. At the same time as step 11, A+B reagents are mixed at a dilution of 1:5000 in  
10 TBSW/0.5% Ethanolamine. The solution is incubated at room temperature until step 13 is completed.

13. The ELISA plates are washed 3x as described in step A.2..

14. Per well, 100 µl of the A+B reagent  
15 mixture are added and incubated while shaking for 30 minutes.

15. The ELISA plates are washed 3x with TBST and twice with water.

16. ABTS/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution is prepared 5 minutes  
20 prior to use.

17. The ELISA plate is developed by addition of 100 µl/well of the ABTS/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution. The plates are incubated for approximately 10 minutes and then read on a Dynatech MR5000 at 410 nm against a  
25 reference filter of 630 nm.

#### 6.1.3. Experimental Results From Cellular Assays

Subset candidate compounds obtained with the cellular assay are summarized in TABLE II.  
30

35



**TABLE II**  
**SELECTIVITY AND POTENCY OF COMPOUNDS IDENTIFIED IN**  
**HIGH-THROUGHPUT CELLULAR ASSAY**

	SUGEN I.D.	FLK-1R Kinase IC <sub>50</sub> (μM)	EGFR Kinase IC <sub>50</sub> (μM)	Specificity
5	SU4304	9	52	5.8
	SU4334	9.7	62	6.4
	SU0879	0.8	21	26
	SU4161	0.5	26	52
10	SU1076	9.2	> 100	> 10
	SU5208	4.7	> 100	> 21.3
	SU4314	1	> 100	> 100
	SU5416	0.1	> 100	> 1000
	SU1433	9.3	> 100	> 11
15	SU4928	8.7	> 100	> 11.5
	SU4348	8	> 100	> 12.5
	SU4312	0.8	> 100	> 125
	SU4943	7.6	> 100	> 13.1
20	SU1498	0.7	> 100	> 143
	SU4929	6	> 100	> 16.7
	SU4945	5	> 100	> 20
	SU4157	4	> 100	> 25
	SU4136	1.8	> 50	> 28
25	SU1835	3.4	> 100	> 29
	SU4328	2.8	> 100	> 35.7
	SU1385	2.3	> 100	> 43
	SU4932	2	> 100	> 50
30	SU4209	0.7	> 50	> 71
	SU5015	10	5.7	0.57
	SU4936	8.5	9.5	1.1
	SU5014	9.9	13	1.3
	SU1387	4.9	9.3	1.9
35	SU4313	4	11	2.75
	SU1393	3.3	15.8	4.8

5 FOLD OR  
GREATER

333333333333333333333333333333333333

## 6.2. Biochemical Assay For Determination Of The Compounds Specificity For KDR/FLK-1

The following biochemical assay is designed to confirm a subset candidate compound's inhibitory effect on the KDR/FLK-1 receptor on a molecular level. More specifically, the following assay is designed to measure receptor autophosphorylation on isolated receptors in a well-defined *in vitro* system, where secondary effects/influences of other cellular molecules can be excluded. A subset of candidate compounds obtained from the cellular assay are tested in the biochemical assay. Compounds determined as "positive" in the following assay are compounds which in fact specifically inhibit the autophosphorylation of KDR/FLK-1.

### 6.2.1. Reagents And Supplies

1. 15 cm tissue culture dishes
2. NIH 3T3/FLK-1 cells: NIH fibroblast line overexpressing human FLK-1 clone 3 Sugan, Inc. (obtained from the Max Planck Institute, Martinsried, Germany).
3. Growth medium: DMEM plus heat inactivated 10% FBS and 2 mM Glutamine, Gibco-BRL, Gaithersburg, USA.
4. Starvation medium: DMEM plus 0.5% heat-inactivated FBS, 2 mM Glutamine (Gibco-BRL, Gaithersburg, USA).
5. Corning 96-well ELISA plates (Corning, Catalog No. 25805-96).
6. L4 or E 38: Monoclonal antibody specific for FLK-1, purified by Protein A-agarose affinity chromatography; Sugan, Inc.
7. PBS (Dulbecco's Phosphate-Buffered Saline), pH 7.2 (Gibco, Catalog No. 450-1300EB). Formulation:

- 2.7 mM KCl; 1.1 mM  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ ; 0.5 mM  $\text{MgCl}_2$  (anhydrous);  
138 mM NaCl; 8.1 mM  $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$ ).
8. HNTG buffer; Formulation: 20 mM Hepes/HCl  
buffer, pH 7.2, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, 10%  
5 glycerol, 1 mM PMSF, 5 mg/l Aprotinin.
9. Biorad Protein Assay Solution (Biorad,  
Hercules, CA; Catalog No. 500-0006).
10. Blocking Buffer; Formulation: 5 % carnation  
instant dry milk in PBS.
- 10 11. TBST; Formulation: 50 mM Tris/HCl, 150 mM  
NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100.
12. Kinase Buffer; Formulation: 25 mM Hepes/Cl  
pH 7.0, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM  $\text{MnCl}_2$  and 2 % Glycerol.
13. Stop solution: 50 mM EDTA.
- 15 14. Biotinylated 4G10, specific for  
phosphotyrosine (UBI, Lake Placid, NY; Catalog No. 16-  
103).
15. ABC kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame,  
CA; Catalog No. 4000).
- 20 16. DMSO (Sigma, Catalog No. D-2650).
17. NUNC 96-well V bottom polypropylene plates  
for compounds (Applied Scientific, Catalog No. AS-  
72092).
18. ABTS Solution; Formulation: 100 mM Citric  
25 Acid (anhydrous); 250 mM  $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$  pH 4.0; 0.5 mg/ml  
(2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)  
(Sigma, Catalog No. A-1888). (Solution should be kept  
in the dark at 4°C until ready to use.)
19. Hydrogen peroxide, 30% solution (Fisher,  
30 Catalog No. H325). (Should be stored in the dark at  
4°C until ready to use.)
20. ABTS/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ; Formulation: 15 ml ABTS solution  
and 2  $\mu\text{l}$   $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ . Prepare 5 minutes before use and leave  
at room temperature.
- 35 21. ATP (Sigma, Catalog No. A-7699).

### 6.2.2. Procedures

#### A. Cell Growth And Lysate Preparation.

1. Cells are seeded into growth medium and grown for 2-3 days to 90-100% confluency at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Cells should not exceed passage No. 20.
2. The medium is removed and the cells washed twice with PBS, then lysed with HNTG lysis buffer. All lysates are collected and vortexed for 20 seconds.
3. The soluble material is removed by centrifugation (5 min, 10,000x g).
4. The protein concentration is determined according to Bradford.
5. The lysates are divided into 1 mg aliquots and the tubes quick-frozen in a dry ice/ethanol mixture. The tubes are stored at -80°C.

#### B. Test Plate And Drug Plate Preparation.

1. Corning 96-well ELISA plates are coated by incubation with 5 µg/well of purified L4 or E 38 in 100 µl coating buffer overnight at 4°C. Plates can be used for one week when kept at 4°C.
2. The unbound proteins are removed from the wells by inverting the plates to remove the liquid. The plates are patted on a paper towel to remove excess liquid and bubbles.
3. The plates are blocked by incubation with 100 µl blocking buffer per well for 45 minutes while shaking on a microtiter plate shaker.
4. The blocking buffer is removed and the ELISA plates washed three time with TBST. The plates are patted on a paper towel to remove excess liquid and bubbles.
5. The cleared lysate from NIH 3T3/FLK-1 cells are thawed, 75 µg of lysate/well are added, and incubated for 3 hours at 4°C while shaking the plate. Alternatively, the incubation can be performed

overnight, provided the temperature is between 4-0°C. Overnight incubation results in a higher delta in the ELISA.

6. The unbound proteins are removed from wells  
5 by inverting the plates. The plates are washed four times with TBST and the plates are patted on a paper towel to remove excess liquid and bubbles.

7. 80  $\mu$ l of kinase buffer are added to the wells.

10 8. The compounds (10 mM, dissolved in 100 % DMSO) are diluted 20-fold into wells of a polypropylene plate filled with TBST plus 1% DMSO.

9. 10  $\mu$ l of the pre-diluted compounds are added to the ELISA wells containing immobilized FLK-1 and  
15 mixed. The volume is now 90  $\mu$ l. Control wells receive no drug.

10. The kinase reaction is started by addition of 10  $\mu$ l 0.3 mM ATP per well. Negative controls receive distilled water.

20 11. The plates are incubated for 60 min at room temperature while shaking on a microtiter plate shaker.

12. The liquid is aspirated and the ELISA plate washed four times with TBST. The plate is patted on a  
25 paper towel to remove excess liquid.

13. 100  $\mu$ l of 1:10,000 fold diluted biotinylated 4G10 is added to all wells and the mixture incubate for 45 minutes while shaking the plates. At the same time as the 4G10 addition, solution A+B is added (50  
30  $\mu$ l each) to 10 ml TBST.

14. The supernatant is aspirated and the plates washed five times as described in step 6.

15. 100  $\mu$ l of the pre-formed complex (solution A+B in TBST) are added to all wells and incubated for  
35 45 min while shaking the plates.

16. The supernatant is aspirated and the plates are washed five times as described in step 6.

17. 100  $\mu$ l of the ABST/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution are added to each well. The plates are incubated 10-15 minutes  
5 while shaking the plates.

18. The bubbles are removed using a stream of air.

19. The plates are read on a Dynatech MR5000 ELISA reader at 410 nM against a reference filter of  
10 630 nM.

### 6.2.3. Experimental Results From Biochemical Assays

Subset candidate compounds obtained with the  
15 biochemical assay are summarized in TABLE III.

20

25

30

35

**TABLE III**  
**POTENCY OF TARGET SPECIFIC COMPOUNDS IDENTIFIED IN**  
**BIOCHEMICAL ASSAY**

SUGEN I.D.	Inhibition in Biochem FLK-1R Kinase Assay ( $\mu$ M)
SU4928	3.3
SU4943	3.6
SU4945	5.4
SU4932	4.8
SU4314	0.01
SU1385	0.02
SU4929	1.6
SU4312	0.5

**6.3. Determination Of The Bioresponse Via FLK-1  
Assay Using HUV-EC-C Cells**

The following protocol has been designed to test the efficacy of anti-FLK-1 inhibitors in an in vitro assay using a human umbilical vein endothelial cell line (HUV-EC-C). HUV-EC-C express the KDR/FLK-1 receptor and respond to induction with VEGF with cell proliferation, and are therefore an excellent system in order to determine the subset candidate compound's effect on VEGF-induced bioresponse. As aFGF induces proliferation in HUV-EC cells as well, the subset candidate compounds' effect on the aFGF-induced bioresponse is measured in control experiments in order to determine the subset candidate compounds' selectivity inhibition for KDR/FLK-1. Subset candidate compounds inhibiting the VEGF-induced bioresponse of HUV-EC-C at an  $IC_{50} < 10 \mu M$  at a threefold selectivity relative to the bioresponse induced by aFGF, are tested further.

PATENT**6.3.1. Procedure****A. Day 1.**

1. HUV-EC-C cells (human umbilical vein endothelial cells, American Type Culture Collection; catalogue no. 1730 CRL) are washed twice with Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (D-PBS; obtained from Gibco BRL; catalogue no. 14190-029) and then trypsinized with 0.05% trypsin-EDTA in non-enzymatic cell dissociation solution (Sigma Chemical Company; catalogue no. C-1544). After cells have detached from the flask, they are resuspended in D-PBS and transferred to a 50 ml sterile centrifuge tube (Fisher Scientific; catalogue no. 05-539-6).

2. The cells are sedimented by centrifugation, the supernatant is aspirated. The cells resuspended and washed twice or three times with D-PBS. Finally, the cells are resuspended in about 1 ml assay medium/15 cm<sup>2</sup> of tissue culture flask. Assay medium consists of F12K medium (Gibco BRL; catalogue no. 21127-014) + 0.5% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum. The cells are counted with a Coulter Counter® (Coulter Electronics, Inc.); the cell suspension is diluted in assay medium to the cells to obtain a concentration of 0.8-1.0x10<sup>5</sup> cells/ml.

3. The cells are seeded in 96-well flat-bottom plates at 100 µl/well or 0.8-1.0x10<sup>4</sup> cells/well and incubated 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for about 24 hours.

**B. DAY 2.**

1. The compounds are adjusted to 100x working stocks. Typically, the compounds are in 20 mM stocks, however, some are at other concentrations. At 20 mM, the compounds are diluted 1:100 to arrive at 200 µM, which is a 4x concentration (i.e., it comprises 1/4 of the total volume of the well and ultimately will be 50 µM). If the compounds are dissolved in DMSO, they



should not be diluted <1:50, because higher concentrations of DMSO might kill the HUV-EC-C cells.

2. For each candidate compound a total amount 90  $\mu$ l/well are needed for seven (7) wells, i.e., three  
5 (3) well for VEGF and aFGF, respectively, and one (1), well for non-ligand media control.

3. Since the compounds are in 1:100 DMSO:assay medium, a diluent of the same DMSO:assay medium ratio needs to be made for the compound  
10 titrations to keep the DMSO concentration constant when diluting the compound.

4. The compounds are titrated as follows:

a. For better results, 96-well round-bottom plates are used to do the compound titrations.  
15 b. 90  $\mu$ l/well of compound is added per in the well of the top row (row A) of designated plates. In a typical experiment, two compounds can be assayed/plate (3 columns x 2 ligands (VEGF, aFGF) x 2 drugs). In the top well of the columns for control  
20 without, 90  $\mu$ l/well of the compound are added as well.  
c. 60  $\mu$ l/well of the DMSO:assay medium diluent are added to the rest of the rows of the plates (row B-H) where compound had been added to row A.

25 d. Three-fold dilutions are made by pipetting 30  $\mu$ l of the 90  $\mu$ l/well in row A into row B. 30  $\mu$ l of the 90  $\mu$ l/well in row B into row C, and so on down to row G. In the end, the additional 30  $\mu$ l/well in row G are removed. No compounds are added to row H  
30 which is left as the no-drug control. At the end of this pipetting cascade, each well A-G should contain 60  $\mu$ l of compound at different concentrations. For example, if the compound stock solution was 20 mM and diluted 1:100 to 200  $\mu$ M, giving 50  $\mu$ M final  
35 concentration, the dilutions yield compound

concentrations as follows: 50, 16.6, 5.5, 1.8, 0.6, 0.2, 0.07, and 0  $\mu$ M.

5 e. 50  $\mu$ l/well of the 60  $\mu$ l per well are transferred to the assay plate and incubated for 2-3 h at 37°C.

5. Ligand (VEGF and aFGF) and media control is added as follows:

a. For each compound tested, 1.2 ml of VEGF and aFGF will be needed (50  $\mu$ l/well, 24 wells  
10 total per compound).

b. For VEGF, the stock is 10  $\mu$ g/ml, and the final concentration in the assay is 20 ng/ml. As the volume of VEGF is 1/4 that of the total assay volume (as true for the compounds), a 4x concentration  
15 is needed (80 ng/ml).

c. For aFGF, the stock is 10  $\mu$ g/ml (as with VEGF), and the final concentration in the assay is 0.25 ng/ml. Since the volume of aFGF is 1/4 that of the total assay volume (as true for the compounds  
20 and VEGF), a 4x concentration is needed (1 ng/ml).

d. 50  $\mu$ l of VEGF are added to columns 1-3 and 7-9; add 50  $\mu$ l of aFGF to columns 4-6 and 10-12, except the media control wells, which get 50  $\mu$ l/ml of assay medium.

25 e. The plates are incubated overnight (20-24 h) at 37°C.

**C. DAY 3.**

1. 1  $\mu$ Ci  $^3$ H-thymidine/well (10  $\mu$ l/well of 100  
30  $\mu$ Ci/ml solution stock solution) are added and incubated overnight (20-24 h) at 37°C.

**D. DAY 4.**

1. The plates are frozen overnight at -20°C.  
35

**E. DAY 5.**

1. The plates are thawed and the cells harvested with Tomtec 96-well plate harvester and applied to filter mats. The <sup>3</sup>H-thymidine incorporation is determined using the betaplate liquid scintillation counter.

**6.3.2. Experimental Results From HUV-EC-C Cell Assays**

- 10 Subset candidate compounds obtained with the bioresponse assay are summarized in TABLE IV.

15

20

25

30

35

**TABLE IV**  
**SELECTIVITY AND POTENCY OF COMPOUNDS IDENTIFIED IN**  
**BIORESPONSE ASSAY**

5	SUGEN I.D.	HUVEC VEGF Thy incorp IC <sub>50</sub> ( $\mu$ M)	HUVEC FGF Thy incorp IC <sub>50</sub> ( $\mu$ M)	Specificity Factor	
	SU4928	3.9	14.5	3.7	
	SU4943	3.1	17.7	5.7	
10	SU4945	3.1	18.8	6.1	
	SU4932	2.5	45.2	18.1	
	SU4314	0.2	6	30	
	SU1385	0.3	15.7	52.3	
	SU5416	0.04	50	1250	
15	SU4929	3.8	>50	>13.2	
	SU5208	9.3	>50	>5.3	
	SU4312	0.8	>50	>62.5	3 FOLD OR GREATER
	SU1433	7	5.6	0.8	
20	SU4136	8	8.2	1.03	
	SU4157	5.3	5.5	1.04	
	SU4348	7.6	8.3	1.05	
	SU4304	7.2	7.7	1.07	
	SU0879	0.6	0.8	1.3	
25	SU1076	10	18.3	1.8	
	SU4209	2.5	6.5	2.6	
	SU1498	2.5	2.6	1.0	
	SU1835	30	31	1.0	
30	SU4161	2.1	2.0	1.0	
	SU4328	2.9	3.0	1.0	
	SU4334	14.4	14.6	1.0	

#### 6.4. In Vivo Animal Models

35 All subset candidate compounds which have been  
determined to effectively and selectively inhibit the

signal transduction induced by VEGF and mediated by KDR/FLK-1 are further analyzed in an *in vivo* mouse xenograft tumor model.

More specifically, EPH-4 cells transfected with VEGF (EPH-4/VEGF cell) propagated in tissue culture flasks.  $3 \times 10^6$  cells in PBS are implanted in  $100 \mu\text{M}$  subcutaneously in the hind flank of nude mice. Dosing of subset candidate compounds is initiated on the following day with daily *i.p.* injections (in  $50 - 100 \mu\text{l}$ ). The growth of the implanted tumor cells is monitored and the size determined twice a week using calipers. Subset candidate compounds which inhibit tumor angiogenesis *in vivo* as indicated by inhibition of the tumor growth by at least 30% relative to untreated controls, at a doses smaller than the  $\text{LD}_{50}$  are identified. At the end of the experiment, the tumors are resected and further examined immunologically and histologically to determine the candidate compounds' inhibitory effect on tumor angiogenesis.

#### 6.4.1. Experimental Results From The Mouse Subcutaneous Xenograft Assays

Subset candidate compounds obtained with the subcutaneous xenograft model are summarized in TABLE V.

**TABLE V**  
**IN VIVO EFFICACY OF COMPOUNDS**

5

10

15

SUGEN I.D.	Efficacy
SU4943	yes***
SU4932	yes***
SU5416	yes***
SU4312	yes***
SU4928	no
SU4929	no
SU5208	no
SU4945	*
SU4314	no
SU1385	no

\* not tested

\*\*\* > 30% inhibition

## 20      6.5. Tumor Invasion Model

The following tumor invasion model has been developed and may be used for the evaluation of therapeutic value and efficacy of the compounds identified to selectively inhibit KDR/FLK-1 receptor  
25 by the cascade of screening assays of the invention.

### 6.5.1. Procedure

8 week old nude mice (female) (Simonsen Inc.) was used as experimental animals. Implantation of tumor  
30 cells was performed in a laminar flow hood. For anesthesia, Xylazine/Ketamine Cocktail (100 mg/kg ketamine and 5 mg/kg) are administered intraperitoneally. A midline incision is done to expose the abdominal cavity (approximately 1.5 cm in  
35 length) to inject  $10^7$  tumor cells in a volume of 100  $\mu$ l medium. The cells are injected either into the

duodenal lobe of the pancreas or in the serosa of the colon. The peritoneum and muscles are closed with a 5-0 silk continuous suture and the skin by gluing with Vetbond and with at least two (5-0 silk) interrupted  
5 sutures. Animals are observed daily.

#### 6.5.2. Analysis

After 2-6 weeks, depending on gross observations of the animals, the mice are sacrificed, and the local  
10 tumor metastases, to various organs (lung, liver, brain, stomach, spleen, heart, muscle) are excised and analyzed (measurements of tumor size, grade of invasion, immunochemistry, and in situ hybridization).

#### 15 6.6. Production Of FLK-1-Specific Monoclonal Antibodies

##### A. Antigen Production.

A fragment encoding for the 523 bp C-terminal fragment of FLK-1 was constructed into a pGEX3X  
20 vector. XL1-Blue Electroporation-competent cells (Stratagene No. 200228) were transformed with the "FLK-1D-GST(pGex-3X FLK-1-523(D)) construct by electroporation. Expression was induced by IPTG induction. The fusion protein was purified to  
25 homogeneity by Glutathione agarose affinity chromatography, then the purified protein dialyzed against PBS, concentrated by ultra filtration and stored at - 80°C.

##### 30 B. Immunization.

Balb C mice were immunized with the above described antigen according to standard procedures. See, Harlow and Lane, 1988.

35

**C. Antisera Testing.**

After the initial immunization and boosts with antigen, the mice were bled and the serum tested in the FLK-1 D-GST ELISA.

5

**D. FLK-1 D-GST-ELISA.**

Purified FLK-1 D-GST or GST control alone were coated to ELISA plates according to standard procedures. Antisera were tested for cross-reactivity with antigen by incubating serially diluted antisera with antigen, followed by HRP-conjugated Goat-anti mouse antiserum.

10

**E. Fusion.**

Splenocyte/Myeloma cell fusion was performed and hybridoma cells were cultured according to standard procedures.

15

**F. Screening Of Supernatants.**

Supernatants were screened using the FLK-1D-GST ELISA. Pools that tested positive in the ELISA were subcloned. In the next round of screening, only pools were selected, which cross-related with FLK-1D-GST and not with GST-controls.

20

25

**G. Testing Hybridoma Supernatants With Full Length FLK-1 In Western Blotting.**

Positive pools were tested in Western blotting using lysates from NIH 3T3/FLK-1 clone 3 (NIH 3T3 cells transfected with FLK-1). Pools which specifically recognized the FLK-1 receptor protein were further subcloned.

30

35



**H. Testing Hybridoma Supernatants With Full Length FLK-1 In Immunoprecipitation.**

Positive pools were tested in immunoprecipitation using lysates from NIH 3T3 cells/FLK-1 clone 3.

- 5 Positive pools were further subcloned. All clones were tested in immunoprecipitation experiments comparing lysates from non-stimulated vs. VEGF-stimulated NIH 3T3/FLK-1 cells. Western blots were probed with anti-phosphotyrosine specific antiserum.
- 10 Immunoprecipitates from all clones showed ligand dependent tyrosine phosphorylation of FLK-1.

**I. Final Evaluation Of FLK-1 Specific Monoclonal Antibodies.**

- 15 Antibodies from FLK-1 specific clones were purified by Protein A/G agarose affinity chromatography according to standard procedures.

- Purified antibodies were coated to ELISA plates and were tested for the ability to capture FLK-1 from
- 20 lysates of VEGF-stimulated and non-stimulated NIH 3T3/FLK-1 cells. Clones were obtained which fulfilled these criteria (eg., L4 and E 38).

- The present invention is not to be limited in scope by the exemplified embodiments which are
- 25 intended as illustrations of single aspects of the invention. Indeed, various modifications of the invention in addition to those described herein will become apparent to those skilled in the art from the foregoing description and accompanying drawings. Such
- 30 modifications are intended to fall within the scope of the appended claims.

All references cited herein are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

35

CLAIMS

What Is Claimed Is:

1. A process for producing a compound that inhibits vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis comprising  
5 screening a plurality of test compounds to identify a subset of compounds which selectively and potently inhibits the VEGF receptor.

2. A process for identifying highly potent and selective compounds that are specific for inhibition  
10 of angiogenesis comprising increasingly selective assays:

(a) performing a cellular assay with a plurality of test compounds to identify a subset of candidate compounds targeting a VEGF receptor, each having an  
15  $IC_{50} < 50 \mu M$  while having an at least twofold  $IC_{50}$  for inhibiting a control receptor;

(b) performing a bioresponse assay with said subset of candidate compounds identified in step (a) to identify a subset of candidate compounds having an  
20  $IC_{50} < 50 \mu M$  for inhibiting a bioresponse induced by VEGF while having an at least twofold higher  $IC_{50}$  for inhibiting the bioresponse induced by non-VEGF ligand; and

(c) performing an *in vivo* experiment with said  
25 subset of candidate compounds identified in step (b) to identify a subset of candidate compounds inhibiting the growth of a subcutaneous tumor *in vivo* at least 30% compared to a control.

3. The process of Claim 2, wherein said process  
30 further comprises a defined *in vitro* assay to identify a subset of candidate compounds directly targeting said VEGF receptor, wherein said targeting is determined by inhibition of said VEGF receptor's activity by at least 50% compared to a control.

35 4. The process of Claim 2 wherein said process comprises:

- (a) performing a cellular assay comprising:
  - (i) incubating cells expressing said VEGF receptor with test candidate compounds at various concentrations to promote inhibition;
  - 5 (ii) exposing said cells to VEGF;
  - (iii) determining a subset of candidate compounds exhibiting inhibition of said VEGF receptor compared to a control;
  - (iv) determining the selectivity of
  - 10 inhibition of said VEGF receptor of each said subset of candidate compounds by repeating steps (i) to (iii) wherein the cells expressing VEGF receptor are substituted by cells expressing a control receptor tyrosine kinase and VEGF in step (ii) is substituted
  - 15 by a high affinity ligand for said control receptor tyrosine kinase; and
  - (v) identifying a subset of candidate compounds each having an  $IC_{50} < 50 \mu M$  for inhibiting a VEGF receptor and an at least twofold higher  $IC_{50}$  for
  - 20 inhibiting a control receptor;
- (b) performing a bioresponse assay comprising:
  - (i) incubating cultured endothelial cells with various concentrations of said subset of candidate compounds identified in step (a)(vi);
  - 25 (ii) exposing said cultured endothelial cells to VEGF or a non-VEGF control ligand;
  - (iii) determining the bioresponse induced by VEGF or the non-VEGF control ligand; and
  - (iv) identifying a subset of candidate
  - 30 compounds having an  $IC_{50} < 50 \mu M$  for inhibiting the bioresponse induced by VEGF and an at least twofold  $IC_{50}$  for inhibiting the bioresponse induced by the non-VEGF control ligand; and
- (c) performing an in vivo experiment comprising:
  - 35 (i) implanting cells subcutaneously in an animal to induce the growth of a subcutaneous tumor;

(ii) treating said animal with said subset of candidate compounds identified in step (b)(vi);

(iii) determining the growth of said subcutaneous tumor of the treated animals compared to  
5 an untreated control;

(iv) identifying the compound inhibiting the growth of said subcutaneous tumor at least 30% compared to said control.

5. The process of Claim 4, wherein step (a) is  
10 replaced by:

(a) incubating cells expressing said d VEGF receptor with candidate compounds to promote inhibition;

(b) exposing said cells to VEGF;

15 (c) determining a subset of candidate compounds exhibiting 50% inhibition of said VEGF receptor compared to a control;

(d) repeating steps (a) to (c) with said subset of candidate compounds selected in step (c), whereby  
20 step (a) is modified in that the cells are incubated with various concentrations of said subset of candidate compounds to determine  $IC_{50}$  values;

(e) determining the selectivity of inhibition of said VEGF receptor of each said subset of candidate  
25 compounds by repeating steps (a) to (c) wherein the cells expressing VEGF receptor are substituted by cells expressing a control receptor tyrosine kinase and VEGF in step (b) is substituted by a high affinity ligand for said control receptor tyrosine kinase; and

30 (f) identifying a subset of candidate compounds each having an  $IC_{50} < 50 \mu M$  for inhibiting a VEGF receptor while having an at least twofold  $IC_{50}$  for inhibiting a control receptor.

6. The process of Claim 4 said process further  
35 comprising performing a biochemical assay wherein:

PATENT

(i) lysing cells expressing said VEGF receptor;

(ii) reacting said VEGF receptor with an immobilized antibody against said VEGF receptor to  
5 isolate said receptor;

(iii) incubating said VEGF receptor with said subset of candidate compounds identified in step (a) (v) at a concentration of  $>50 \mu\text{M}$ ;

(iv) exposing said VEGF receptor to VEGF;  
10 (v) determining the degree of tyrosine phosphorylation of said VEGF receptor;

(vi) identifying a subset of candidate compounds which inhibit the tyrosine phosphorylation of said VEGF receptor by at least 50% compared to a  
15 control;

7. The process of Claim 4, wherein said VEGF receptor is selected from the group consisting of KDR/FLK-1 and flt-1.

8. The process of Claim 4, wherein the step of  
20 determining compounds exhibiting inhibition of said VEGF receptor comprises measuring the amount the receptor tyrosine phosphorylation of said VEGF receptor and said control receptor using an ELISA assay.

25 9. The process of Claim 4, wherein the  $\text{IC}_{50}$  of said compound for inhibiting tyrosine phosphorylation of said VEGF receptor in step (a) is  $<10 \mu\text{M}$ .

10. The process of Claim 4, wherein the  $\text{IC}_{50}$  of said compound for inhibiting said control receptor in  
30 step (a) is at least fivefold relative to the  $\text{IC}_{50}$  of said compound for inhibiting said VEGF receptor.

11. The process of Claim 8, wherein said cultured endothelial cells are selected from the group consisting of HUV-EC and BAE cells.

35

12. The process of Claim 12, wherein said bioresponse is determined by measuring the  $^3\text{H}$  thymidine incorporation into DNA.

13. A compound produced by the process of Claim 4.

14. The compound of Claim 13, wherein the  $\text{IC}_{50}$  of said compound for inhibiting the bioresponse induced by VEGF is  $<10\ \mu\text{M}$ .

15. The compound of Claim 13, wherein the  $\text{IC}_{50}$  of said compound for inhibiting the bioresponse induced by VEGF is at least threefold higher than the  $\text{IC}_{50}$  of said compound for inhibiting the bioresponse induced by a non-VEGF control ligand.

16. A pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of a compound produced by the process of Claim 4.

17. A compound comprising a therapeutically effective amount of a compound selectively inhibiting the activity of a VEGF receptor, said compound produced by a process comprising at least three increasingly selective screening steps.

18. A pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of a compound selectively inhibiting the activity of a VEGF receptor, said compound produced by a process comprising at least three increasingly selective screening steps.

19. A method for treating diseases related to unregulated or inappropriate vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis comprising administration of an effective amount of the composition of Claim 16.

20. A method for treating diseases according to Claim 19 wherein the disease is selected from the group consisting of cancer, arthritis, diabetic retinopathy.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US97/03378**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**

IPC(6) : Please See Extra Sheet.

US CL : Please See Extra Sheet.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 424/9.2, 130; 435/4, 7.1, 7.21, 7.2, 7.92; 436/501, 536, 63; 514/1, 2, 44, 825, 866; 530/300, 350

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

Please See Extra Sheet.

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X --- A	WO 95/21613 A1 (SUGEN, INC.) 17 August 1995, especially abstract, pages 5-22 and 51-79.	1-3, 13-20 ----- 4-11
X --- A	WO 95/21868 A1 (IMCLONE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED) 17 August 1995, especially pages 25-45.	1, 2, 13-20 ----- 3-11
A	WO 95/19169 A2 (SUGEN, INC.) 20 July 1995, especially pages 42-98.	2-10
X -- A	ASANO et al. Isolation and Characterization of Neutralizing Monoclonal Antibodies to Human Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor/Vascular Permeability Factor 121 (VEGF/VPF 121). Hybridoma., 1995, Vol. 14, No. 5, pages 475-480, especially abstract and Figures 1-3 and 5.	1-3, 13-20 ----- 4-11

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.
 ☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	*T	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X*	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
*E* earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y*	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*Z*	document member of the same patent family
*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		
*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		

Date of the actual completion of the international search

04 JUNE 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

07 JUL 1997

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Box PCT  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

CLAIRE M. KAUFMAN

Telephone No. (703) 308-0196

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US97/03378

## C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X --- A	KIM et al. The Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Proteins: Identification of Biologically Relevant Regions by Neutralizing monoclonal Antibodies. Growth Factors., 1992. Vol. 7, No. 1, pages 53-64, see entire document.	1-3, 13-20 4-11
X	GENGRINOVITCH et al. Platelet Factor-4 Inhibits the Mitogenic Activity of VEGF121 and VEGF165 Using Several Concurrent Mechanisms. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 23 June 1995, Vol. 270, No. 25, pages 15059-15065, especially abstract and page 15060.	1
X -- A	SALEH et al. Inhibition of Growth of C6 Glioma Cells in Vivo by Expression of Antisense Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Sequence. Cancer Research, 15 January 1996. Vol. 56, No. 2, pages 393-401, especially Figure 1 and "RESULTS" section.	1 ----- 2, 18
A	JELLINEK et al. Inhibition of Receptor Binding by High-Affinity RNA Ligands to Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor. Biochemistry, 1994, Vol. 33, No. 34, pages 10450-10456, especially abstract and pages 10455-10455.	1, 13-20



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US97/03378

## Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
  
2. ☒ Claims Nos.: 12  
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:  
  
Claim 12 depends on itself and is drawn to the process of claim 12, however no process is recited in the claim.
  
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

## Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

Please See Extra Sheet.

1. ☒ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
  
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  
☒ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US97/03378

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER:

IPC (6):

C07K 2/00, 14/705, 16/28; C12N 5/06, 5/07; C12Q 1/00; A16K 31/00, 35/00, 39/395; G01N 33/15, 33/48, 22/53

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER:

US CL :

424/9.2, 130; 435/4, 7.1, 7.21, 7.2, 7.92; 436/501, 536, 63; 514/1, 2, 44, 825, 866; 530/300, 350

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Electronic data bases consulted (Name of data base and where practicable terms used):

APS, STN (MEDLINE, CAPLUS, INPADOC, PHIN)

search terms: hirth, mcMahon, shawver, kdr?, vegf?, angiogen? vascul? flt-1, flk-1, receptor?, inhibit?, suppress? tumo?, angiogen?

## BOX II. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION WAS LACKING

This ISA found multiple inventions as follows:

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be searched, the appropriate additional search fees must be paid.

Group I, claim 1, drawn to a process for producing a compound that inhibits angiogenesis and inhibits the VEGF receptor.

Group II, claims 2-12, drawn to a process for identifying compounds that inhibit angiogenesis.

Group III, claims 13-18, drawn to a compound or pharmaceutical composition produced the process of Group II.

Group IV, claims 19-20, drawn to a method of treating diseases with the pharmaceutical composition of Group III.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The process of producing a compound that inhibits angiogenesis and inhibits the VEGF receptor is not itself an advance over the prior art because GENGRINOVITCH et al. (J. Biol. Chem., 270(25):15059-15065, June 1995) describe a method of producing PF4 (platelet factor-4) by recombinant transformation of bacteria (page 15060, columns 1, 2nd full paragraph) and teach screening compounds such as PF4, two VEGF variants, and heparan, to identify compounds which inhibit the VEGF receptor (two paragraphs beginning on page 15060, column 2, with the 3rd full paragraphs). Gengrinovitch et al. show that PF4 inhibits angiogenesis and inhibits the VEGF receptor by binding heparin binding proteins such as VEGF165 (abstract). Further, the process of Group I does not share a special technical feature with the process of Group II because the processes use different steps and Group I is the production of a compound and II is the identification of a compound, but only by activity assays and not by structure. The process of Group I does not share a special technical features with the compound of Group III because the compound can be used in unrelated processes such as in purification of the VEGF receptor or in the production of an antibody to the compound. Also the compound (PF4) is not novel. The process of Group I and the method of Group IV also lack a corresponding special technical feature because they do not share process steps and are performed for different purposes. The method of Group II does not share a special technical feature with the compound of Group III because the compound is not novel and so does not provide a special technical feature. The method of Group II is further unrelated to the method of Group IV because neither process steps or purpose of using the methods are shared. The compound of Group III does not share a corresponding technical feature with the method of Group IV because the compound is not novel and because the compound may be used in many methods, including production of an antibody, purification of the VEGF receptor, or in the identification of VEGF receptor agonists.



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :</b> <b>C07K 2/00, 14/705, 16/28, C12N 5/06, 5/07, C12Q 1/00, A16K 31/00, 35/00, 39/395, G01N 33/15, 33/48, 22/53</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 97/34920</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 25 September 1997 (25.09.97)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US97/03378 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 4 March 1997 (04.03.97) <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 08/621,734 21 March 1996 (21.03.96) US <b>(71) Applicant:</b> SUGEN, INC. [US/US]; 515 Galveston Drive, Redwood City, CA 94063 (US). <b>(72) Inventors:</b> HIRTH, Klaus, P.; 334 Collingwood, San Francisco, CA 94114 (US). MCMAHON, Gerald; 1414 Greenwich Street, San Francisco, CA 94109 (US). SHAWVER, Laura, K.; 216 Cotter Street, San Francisco, CA 94112 (US). <b>(74) Agents:</b> CORUZZI, Laura, A. et al.; Pennie & Edmonds L.L.P., 1155 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036 (US).	<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AL, AM, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CN, CU, CZ, EE, GE, GH, HU, IL, IS, JP, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LT, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MX, NO, NZ, PL, RO, RU, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UZ, VN, YU, ARIPO patent (GH, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>With amended claims.</i>  <b>Date of publication of the amended claims:</b> 30 October 1997 (30.10.97)	
<b>(54) Title:</b> ASSAYS FOR KDR/FLK-1 RECEPTOR TYROSINE KINASE INHIBITORS		
<b>(57) Abstract</b>  The present invention relates to processes for the identification of compounds and pharmaceutical compositions capable of selectively and potently inhibiting KDR/FLK-1 tyrosine kinase signal transduction in order to inhibit vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis. The present invention further relates to compounds and compositions identified using the methods of the invention and the use thereof for the treatment of disease relating to inappropriate vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis.		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Larvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

## AMENDED CLAIMS

[received by the International Bureau on 8 September 1997 (08.09.97);  
original claims 1-20 replaced by new claims 1-17 (5 pages)]

1. A process for identifying a compound that inhibits vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis,  
5 characterized by screening, by means of increasingly selective assays, a plurality of test compounds to identify a compound which selectively and potently inhibits a VEGF receptor.
2. A process according to Claim 1,  
10 characterized by the fact that said increasingly selective assays comprise the steps of:
  - (a) performing a cellular assay with a plurality of test candidate compounds to identify a subset of candidate compounds targeting a VEGF  
15 receptor, each having an  $IC_{50}$   $< 50 \mu M$  while having an at least twofold higher  $IC_{50}$  for inhibiting a control receptor;
  - (b) performing a bioresponse assay with said subset of candidate compounds identified in step  
20 (a) to identify a further subset of candidate compounds having an  $IC_{50}$   $< 50 \mu M$  for inhibiting a bioresponse induced by VEGF while having an at least twofold higher  $IC_{50}$  for inhibiting the bioresponse induced by non-VEGF ligand; and
  - 25 (c) performing an *in vivo* experiment with said subset of candidate compounds identified in step (b) to identify a subset of candidate compounds inhibiting the growth of a subcutaneous tumor *in vivo* at least 30% compared to a control.
- 30 3. A process according to Claim 2, characterized by further comprising a defined *in vitro* assay to identify a subset of candidate compounds directly targeting said VEGF receptor, wherein said targeting is determined by inhibition of said VEGF  
35 receptor's activity by at least 50% compared to a control.

4. A process according to Claim 2,  
characterized by the fact that:

(a) said cellular assay comprises:

- (i) incubating cells expressing said  
5 VEGF receptor with test candidate compounds at various  
concentrations to promote inhibition;
- (ii) exposing said cells to VEGF;
- (iii) determining a subset of  
candidate compounds exhibiting inhibition of said VEGF  
10 receptor compared to a control;
- (iv) determining the selectivity of  
inhibition of said VEGF receptor of each said subset  
of candidate compounds by repeating steps (i) to (iii)  
wherein the cells expressing VEGF receptor are  
15 substituted by cells expressing a control receptor  
tyrosine kinase and VEGF in step (ii) is substituted  
by a high affinity ligand for said control receptor  
tyrosine kinase; and
- (v) identifying a subset of candidate  
20 compounds each having an  $IC_{50} < 50 \mu M$  for inhibiting a  
VEGF receptor and an at least twofold higher  $IC_{50}$  for  
inhibiting a control receptor;

(b) said bioresponse assay comprises:

- (i) incubating cultured endothelial  
25 cells with various concentrations of said subset of  
candidate compounds identified in step (a)(v);
- (ii) exposing said cultured endothelial  
cells to VEGF or a non-VEGF control ligand;
- (iii) determining the bioresponse  
30 induced by VEGF or the non-VEGF control ligand; and
- (iv) identifying a subset of candidate  
compounds having an  $IC_{50} < 50 \mu M$  for inhibiting the  
bioresponse induced by VEGF and an at least twofold  
higher  $IC_{50}$  for inhibiting the bioresponse induced by  
35 the non-VEGF control ligand; and

(c) said in vivo experiment comprises:

(i) implanting cells subcutaneously in an animal to induce the growth of a subcutaneous tumor;

(ii) treating said animal with said  
5 subset of candidate compounds identified in step (b) (v);

(iii) determining the growth of said subcutaneous tumor of the treated animals compared to an untreated control;

10 (iv) identifying a subset of candidate compounds inhibiting the growth of said subcutaneous tumor at least 30% compared to said control.

5. A process according to Claim 4, characterized by the fact that said cellular assay (a)  
15 is replaced by:

(i) incubating cells expressing said VEGF receptor with candidate compounds to promote inhibition;

(ii) exposing said cells to VEGF;

20 (iii) determining a subset of candidate compounds exhibiting 50% inhibition of said VEGF receptor compared to a control;

(iv) repeating steps (i) to (iii) with said subset of candidate compounds selected in step  
25 (iii), whereby step (i) is modified in that the cells are incubated with various concentrations of said subset of candidate compounds to determine  $IC_{50}$  values;

(v) determining the selectivity of inhibition of said VEGF receptor of each said subset  
30 of candidate compounds by repeating steps (i) to (iii) wherein the cells expressing VEGF receptor are substituted by cells expressing a control receptor tyrosine kinase and VEGF in step (ii) is substituted by a high affinity ligand for said control receptor  
35 tyrosine kinase; and

(vi) identifying a subset of candidate compounds each having an  $IC_{50} < 50 \mu M$  for inhibiting a VEGF receptor while having an at least twofold  $IC_{50}$  for inhibiting a control receptor.

5 6. A process according to Claim 4, characterized by further performing a biochemical assay comprising:

(i) lysing cells expressing said VEGF receptor;

10 (ii) reacting said VEGF receptor with an immobilized antibody against said VEGF receptor to isolate said receptor;

(iii) incubating said VEGF receptor with said subset of candidate compounds identified in  
15 step (a) (v) at a concentration of  $> 50 \mu M$ ;

(iv) exposing said VEGF receptor to VEGF;

(v) determining the degree of tyrosine phosphorylation of said VEGF receptor;

20 (vi) identifying a subset of candidate compounds which inhibit the tyrosine phosphorylation of said VEGF receptor by at least 50% compared to a control;

7. A process according to any one of Claims 1  
25 to 6, characterized by the fact that said VEGF receptor is selected from the group consisting of KDR/FLK-1 and flt-1.

8. A process according to Claim 4, characterized by the fact that the step of determining  
30 compounds exhibiting inhibition of said VEGF receptor uses an ELISA assay to measure the amount of the receptor tyrosine phosphorylation of said VEGF receptor and said control receptor.

9. A process according to Claim 4,  
35 characterized by the fact that the  $IC_{50}$  of said subset of candidate compounds for inhibiting tyrosine



phosphorylation of said VEGF receptor in step (a) is <10  $\mu$ M.

10. A process according to Claim 4, characterized by the fact that the  $IC_{50}$  of said subset  
5 of candidate compounds for inhibiting said control receptor in step (a) is at least fivefold relative to the  $IC_{50}$  of said compound for inhibiting said VEGF receptor.

11. A process according to Claim 4,  
10 characterized by the fact that said cultured endothelial cells in step (b) (i) are selected from the group consisting of HUV-EC and BAE cells.

12. A process according to Claim 4, characterized by the fact that said bioresponse in  
15 step (b) (iii) is determined by measuring the  $^3H$  thymidine incorporation into DNA.

13. A process according to Claim 4, characterized by the fact that, in step (b) (iv), the  $IC_{50}$  of said subset of candidate compounds for  
20 inhibiting the bioresponse induced by VEGF is <10  $\mu$ M.

14. A pharmaceutical composition, characterized by a therapeutically effective amount of a compound identified by a process according to any one of Claims 1 to 13.

25 15. The use of a compound identified by a process according to any one of Claims 1 to 13 for inhibiting vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis.

16. A method for treating a disease related to unregulated or inappropriate vasculogenesis and/or  
30 angiogenesis, characterized by administering to a patient an effective amount of a pharmaceutical composition according to Claim 14.

17. A method according to Claim 16, characterized by the fact that the disease treated is  
35 selected from the group consisting of cancer, arthritis and diabetic retinopathy.

